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Executive Summary

This report contains the Colorado Department of Health Care Policy & Financing’s (HCPF) review of rates paid to providers under the Colorado Medical Assistance Act. This report is intended to be used by HCPF, in collaboration with the Medicaid Provider Rate Review Advisory Committee (MPRRAC) and stakeholders, to evaluate findings and generate recommendations. Senate Bill 23-223 Medicaid Provider Rate Review Process reduced the rate review cycle so that each provider type is reviewed every three years instead of every five years. Services under review this year, Year One of the first three-year review cycle, are listed in the table below. The Rate Review Process, enacted in June 2015 by Senate Bill 15-228 and amended in June 2022 by Senate Bill 22-236 and June 2023 by Senate Bill 23-223, operates in accordance with the Colorado Medical Assistance Act, Section 25.5-4-401, C.R.S. (Colorado Revised Statutes).

This report contains a service grouping description, rate comparison analysis, access to care analysis, stakeholder feedback, additional research, and recommendations for each service under review this year. The services are a subset of services reviewed throughout the entire three-year cycle. For each service grouping, rate benchmark comparisons describe (as a percentage) how Colorado Medicaid payments compare to other payers and are listed below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>CO as a Percent of Benchmark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anesthesia</td>
<td>137.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambulatory Surgical Centers</td>
<td>53.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fee-for-Service Behavioral Health Services</td>
<td>97.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pediatric Behavioral Therapy</td>
<td>78.7% for Method 1 - Including Nebraska; 90.7% for Method 2 - Excluding Nebraska</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternity Services</td>
<td>76.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abortion Services</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dental Services</td>
<td>49.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Rate Benchmark Comparison Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>CO as a Percent of Benchmark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Digestive System</td>
<td>96.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Musculoskeletal System</td>
<td>66.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular System¹</td>
<td>162.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory System</td>
<td>82.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integumentary System</td>
<td>63.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Here the benchmark ratio for cardiovascular surgery service is based on the repricing methodology which is consistent with other surgeries services, i.e., different Medicare fees were used depending on whether the encounter was done at a facility or non-facility, based on the place of service code in the data. In addition, the department recommended applying the Medicare non-facility fee schedule only to cardiovascular surgery service.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Eye and Auditory System</th>
<th>95.0%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Other Surgeries</td>
<td>78.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 2. Surgeries Rate Benchmark Comparison Results*

Using the recommendations from the MPRRAC process, HCPF staff prepare recommendations in accordance with anticipated budget restrictions for the coming fiscal year such as budget projections, HCPF’s overall budget, and HCPF’s budget relative to other state budget priorities. HCPF considers the MPRRAC’s recommendations seriously when prioritizing HCPF recommendations; however, the budget allowance may not allow HCPF and MPRRAC recommendations to align.

The total anticipated fiscal impact of the MPRRAC’s recommendations is predicted to be $144,027,428 total funds, and $39,718,024 General Fund.

The total anticipated fiscal impact of HCPF’s recommendations is predicted to be $112,395,679 total funds, and $28,271,871 General Fund.

Members of the public are invited to engage in the Rate Review Process; provide input on access, quality, and provider rates; and attend MPRRAC meetings. The three-year rate review schedule, the MPRRAC meeting schedule, past MPRRAC meeting materials, and more can be found on HCPF website.

**Anesthesia**

MPRRAC Recommendations:

- The MPRRAC suggests consideration of the difference between moderate and general sedation when it comes to reimbursement rates.
- Introduce a travel rate for anesthesia providers due to additional travel costs and an expected improvement of access to care.
- The MPRRAC members support reducing the rate to 100% of the benchmark, but voiced two main concerns:
  - Increased cost to supplies (example: COVID-19 protocols, supply chain issues, inflation).
  - Decreases may impact certain codes more than others.
- The anticipated fiscal impact of MPRRAC’s recommendations is predicted to be ($9,897,967) total funds, ($2,896,344) General Fund.

HCPF Recommendations:

- HCPF recommends a reduction in anesthesia service rates to 100% of the benchmark, which would be more in line with target and other providers, while allowing for funding to be more equitably distributed to other provider types.
The anticipated fiscal impact of HCPF’s recommendations is predicted to be ($9,897,967) total funds, and ($2,896,344) General Fund.

**Ambulatory Surgical Centers (ASCs)**

**MPRRAC Recommendations:**
- The MPRRAC recommends an increase of ASC rates to at least 80% of the benchmark.
  - This is equivalent to increasing the current rates by 54%.
- The anticipated fiscal impact of the MPRRAC’s recommendations is predicted to be $5,379,889 total funds, $1,574,264 General Fund.

**HCPF Recommendations:**
- HCPF recommends increasing ASC rates to 75% of the benchmark to encourage utilization of the ASC setting.
  - This is equivalent to increasing the current rates by 21.5%.
  - ASCs are an alternative care site to the outpatient hospital care site; adequate ASC access creates a cost efficient care alternative.
- The anticipated fiscal impact of the HCPF’s recommendations is predicted to be $4,366,634 total funds, $1,277,764 General Fund.

**Fee-for Service (FFS) Behavioral Health Services**

**MPRRAC Recommendations:**
- The MPRRAC recommends a language translation modifier for native language speakers for testing codes.
- The MPRRAC recommends reviewing four psychological testing codes (96132, 96133, 96136, 96137) under fee-for-service behavioral health services, as opposed to reviewing under Physician Services category as done previously in the 2022 Medicaid Provider Rate Review Analysis Report.
  - Some members support a higher increase above 100%, while others recommend looking at specific codes (96132, 96133, 96136, 96137) to be above 100% in order to alleviate the bottleneck in accessing psychological assessments.
- The anticipated fiscal impact of the MPRRAC’s recommendation is predicted to be $319,452 total funds, $159,726 General Fund.

**HCPF Recommendations:**
- HCPF recommends reverting the rates for 2 ASD/Development screening assessment codes (96110 and 96127) to $18.39 to reflect the rates before the
2019/2022 MPRRAC review plus the 3% across-the-board rate increase applied for FY 2023-24.

- The anticipated fiscal impact of the HCPF’s recommendations is predicted to be $1,664,157 total funds, $822,078 General Fund.

**Pediatric Behavioral Therapy (PBT)**

**MPRRAC Recommendations:**

- The MPRRAC recommends increasing PBT rates to 100% of the benchmark that includes Nebraska (78.7%) and open up a list of codes that are not currently covered by Colorado Medicaid.
  - Codes include: 97152, 97156, 97157, 0362T, 0373T
- The anticipated fiscal impact of the MPRRAC’s recommendations is predicted to be $34,281,532 total funds, $17,140,766 General Fund.

**HCPF Recommendations:**

- HCPF recommends raising all rates to 100% of the benchmark, excluding Nebraska (90.7%).
  - Nebraska is an extreme outlier with rates that are between 41% - 508% above other states in the benchmark cohort. For example, the Nebraska rate for 97155 per unit is $36.11 in 2023, which is 41% higher than the average rate of other nine states. Its rate for 97158 per unit is $54.17, which is 508% higher than the average rate of other nine states.
  - Because of the impact of Nebraska on the analysis, HCPF left Nebraska out of its benchmark analysis for purposes of its recommendation. This allows HCPF to achieve greater balance across provider types for this year's rate increases.
- HCPF recommends leaving one procedure code (97158) at its current rate because its benchmark ratio is already at 128.5%, which is above the recommended 100% benchmark ratio of other four procedure codes.
- The anticipated fiscal impact of the HCPF’s recommendations is predicted to be $13,019,386 total funds, $6,509,693 General Fund.

**Maternity Services**

**MPRRAC Recommendations:**

- The MPRRAC recommends an increase of maternity rates to 100% of the benchmark.
- The anticipated fiscal impact of the MPRRAC’s recommendations is predicted to be $8,942,246 total funds, $4,471,123 General Fund.

HCPF Recommendations:

- HCPF recommends 13 out of 18 general maternity service and care codes increase to 100% of the benchmark (59160, 59300, 59400, 59410, 59425, 59426, 59430, 59510, 59515, 59614, 59618, 59622, 59830).
  - HCPF recommends that the 5 out of 18 general maternity service and care codes that are already above 90% remain at their current rate (59350, 59409, 59525, 59612, 59614).
- HCPF recommends 12 out of 14 non-viable pregnancy codes increase to 80% of the benchmark (59070, 59120, 59121, 59130, 59150, 59001, 59015, 59200, 59812, 59820, 59821, 59870).
  - HCPF recommends that the 2 out of 14 non-viable pregnancy codes that are above 80% remain at their current rate (59025, 59151).
- HCPF recommends the 10 OB Global Bundled codes remain at their current rate (59000, 59012, 59051, 59140, 59320, 59412, 59414, 59514, 59620, 59871).
- The anticipated fiscal impact of the HCPF’s recommendations is predicted to be $8,494,404 total funds, $4,247,202 General Fund.

Abortion Services

MPRRAC Recommendations:

- The MPRRAC recommends increasing rates closer to other states’ Medicaid programs because the rates are only reviewed every three years.
- One suggestion is a targeted rate increase because there is insufficient information due to HIPAA prohibiting the disclosure of codes with less than 30 claims; the MPRRAC raised concerns about how a rate increase may impact other services’ rate increases:
  - Concerns about using different states as a benchmark because other factors may not be comparable to Colorado.
  - Concerns that Medicare is not used as the benchmark.
- The anticipated fiscal impact of the MPRRAC’s recommendations is $0.

HCPF Recommendations:

- HCPF recommends raising the reimbursement rate for code 59840 (Dilation and Curettage) to $354.54
- HCPF recommends raising the reimbursement rate for code 59841 (Dilation and Evacuation) to $1,150.00.
The anticipated fiscal impact of HCPF’s recommendations is predicted to be $325 total funds, $162 General Fund.

**Dental Services**

**MPRRAC Recommendations:**

- The MPRRAC recommends that the 24 preventative, endodontic, periodontic and diagnostic dental codes submitted by the Colorado Dental Association be increased to 100% of the commercial benchmark to have the most immediate impact on the dental community. These 24 dental codes are high value codes with the most immediate impact on the Colorado dental community.
- The 24 identified codes are: D0120, D0140, D0150, D1110, D1120, D2740, D2750, D2751, D2752, D2753, D2790, D2791, D2792, D2794, D2930, D3310, D3320, D3330, D3346, D3347, D3348, D4341, D4342, and D4910.
- The anticipated fiscal impact of the MPRRAC’s recommendations is predicted to be $104,138,137 total funds, $19,015,624 General Fund.

**HCPF Recommendations:**

- HCPF recommends increasing preventative dental codes (D1110, D1120), endodontic codes (D3310, D3320, D3330, D3346, D3347, D3348) and periodontic codes (D4341, D4342 and D4910) to 100% of the benchmark. This aligns with incentivizing dental prevention and efforts to improve member access and equity in oral health care.
- HCPF recommends the remaining 13 codes for diagnostic services (D0120, D0140, D0150, D2740, D2750, D2751, D2752, D2753, D2790, D2791, D2792, D2794, D2930) increase to 70% of the benchmark.
- HCPF recommends raising 4 additional preventative procedure codes: D1206, D1351, D1352, D1354 (3 codes are for sealants and 1 is for silver diamine fluoride to arrest decay) to 100% of the benchmark.
- The anticipated fiscal impact of the HCPF’s recommendations is predicted to be $85,620,023 total funds, $15,634,217 General Fund.

**Digestive System Surgeries**

**MPRRAC Recommendations:**

- The MPRRAC recommends keeping preventative surgery codes at 100% of the benchmark.
  - Preventative surgery codes include:
    - 45378, 45379, 45380, 45381, 45382, 45384, 45385, 45386, 45388, 45390, 45391, 45392, 45393, 45395, 45397, 45398.
• For all other codes, rebalance to 80% of the benchmark.
• The anticipated fiscal impact of the MPRRAC’s recommendations is predicted to be ($1,447,136) total funds, ($423,461) General Fund.

HCPF Recommendations:

• HCPF recommends raising preventative surgery codes to 100% of the benchmark and keeping any preventative codes over 100% at their current rate.
  ○ Preventative surgery codes include: 45378, 45379, 45380, 45381, 45382, 45384, 45385, 45386, 45388, 45390, 45391, 45392, 45393, 45395, 45397, 45398.
• HCPF recommends a rebalance of all other codes, meaning codes below 70% of the benchmark be increased to 70%, and codes above 100% of the benchmark be reduced to 100%.
• The anticipated fiscal impact of the HCPF’s recommendations is predicted to be ($1,165,252) total funds, ($340,976) General Fund.

Musculoskeletal System Surgeries

MPRRAC Recommendations:

• The MPRRAC recommends rebalancing all codes to 80% of the benchmark.
• The anticipated fiscal impact of the MPRRAC’s recommendations is predicted to be $5,003,658 total funds, $1,464,171 General Fund.

HCPF Recommendations:

• HCPF recommends a rebalance of codes, with codes below the 70% benchmark increased to 70% and codes above 100% of the benchmark reduced to 100%.
• The anticipated fiscal impact of the HCPF’s recommendations is predicted to be $3,732,671 total funds, $1,092,254 General Fund.

Cardiovascular System Surgeries

MPRRAC Recommendations:

• The MPRRAC recommends rebalancing all codes to 80% of the benchmark.
• The anticipated fiscal impact of the MPRRAC’s recommendations is predicted to be ($7,723,131) total funds, ($2,259,943) General Fund.

HCPF Recommendations:
• HCPF recommends a rebalance of codes below the 70% benchmark to be increased to 70% and codes above 125% of the benchmark to be reduced to 125% using only non-facility Medicare rates as the benchmark.
• The anticipated fiscal impact of the HCPF’s recommendations is predicted to be $2,842,496 total funds, $831,772 General Fund.

Respiratory System Surgeries

MPRRAC Recommendations:
• The MPRRAC recommends rebalancing all codes to 80% of the benchmark.
• The anticipated fiscal impact of the MPRRAC’s recommendations is predicted to be $180,879 total funds, $52,929 General Fund.

HCPF Recommendations:
• HCPF recommends a rebalance of codes below the 70% benchmark to be increased to 70% and codes above 100% of the benchmark to be reduced to 100%.
• The anticipated fiscal impact of the HCPF’s recommendations is predicted to be ($223,909) total funds, ($65,520) General Fund.

Integumentary System Surgeries

MPRRAC Recommendations:
• The MPRRAC recommends rebalancing all codes to 80% of the benchmark.
• The anticipated fiscal impact of the MPRRAC’s recommendations is predicted to be $3,216,801 total funds, $941,300 General Fund.

HCPF Recommendations:
• HCPF recommends a rebalance of codes below the 70% benchmark to be increased to 70% and codes above 100% of the benchmark to be reduced to 100%.
  ○ HCPF recommends 1 preventative code (17380) to increase to 100% of the benchmark.
• The anticipated fiscal impact of the HCPF’s recommendations is predicted to be $2,081,628 total funds, $609,126 General Fund.

Eye and Auditory Systems Surgeries

MPRRAC Recommendations:
• The MPRRAC recommends rebalancing all codes to 80% of the benchmark.
The anticipated fiscal impact of the MPRRAC’s recommendations is predicted to be ($176,581) total funds, ($51,671) General Fund.

HCPF Recommendations:
- HCPF recommends a rebalance of codes below the 70% benchmark to be increased to 70% and codes above 100% of the benchmark to be reduced to 100%.
- The anticipated fiscal impact of the HCPF’s recommendations is predicted to be ($383,945) total funds, ($112,350) General Fund.

Other Surgeries

MPRRAC Recommendations:
- The MPRRAC recommends rebalancing all codes to 80% of the benchmark.
- The anticipated fiscal impact of the MPRRAC’s recommendations is predicted to be $1,809,649 total funds, $529,540 General Fund.

HCPF Recommendations:
- HCPF recommends a rebalance of codes below the 70% benchmark to be increased to 70% and codes above 100% of the benchmark to be reduced to 100%.
- The anticipated fiscal impact of the HCPF's recommendations is predicted to be $505,358 total funds, $147,878 General Fund.

Co-Surgery

MPRRAC Recommendations:
- The MPRRAC did not receive data on Co-Surgery, and therefore did not make a recommendation.

HCPF Recommendations:
- HCPF recommends to expand the list of surgeries for which HCPF allows co-surgery reimbursement to include all CPT codes which CMS has assigned a co-surgery indicator of ‘1’, which includes 2,469 codes.
- The anticipated fiscal impact of the co-surgery recommendation is about $1,759,670 total funds, $514,915 General Fund.
Introduction

The Colorado Department of Health Care Policy & Financing (HCPF) administers the State’s public health insurance programs, including Colorado’s Medicaid, Child Health Plan Plus (CHP+), and a variety of other programs for Coloradans who qualify. Colorado Medicaid is jointly funded by a federal-state partnership. HCPF’s mission is to improve health care equity, access and outcomes for the people we serve while saving Coloradans money on health care and driving value for Colorado.

In 2015, the Colorado General Assembly adopted Senate Bill 15-228, “Medicaid Provider Rate Review,” amended by Senate Bill 22-236 in 2022, an act concerning a process for the periodic review of provider rates under the Colorado Medical Assistance Act. In accordance with the Colorado Medical Assistance ACT, Section 25.5-4-401, C.R.S. (Colorado Revised Statutes), HCPF established a rate review process that involves three components:

- assess and, if needed, review a three-year schedule of rates;
- conduct analyses of service, utilization, access, quality, and rate comparisons for services under review;
- provide recommendations on all rates reviewed and present them in a report published the first of every November.

The Rate Review Process is advised by the MPRRAC, whose members recommend changes to the three-year schedule, provide input on reports published by HCPF, and conduct public meetings to allow stakeholders the opportunity to participate in the process.

MPRRAC meetings for services under review this year, Year One of the first three-year rate review cycle, began in March 2023 and included a general discussion of services under review and stakeholder feedback. Summaries from meetings, including presentation materials, documents from stakeholders, and meeting minutes, are found on HCPF website.

This report contains:

- comparisons of Colorado Medicaid provider rates to those of other payers;
- access to care analyses; and
- assessments of whether payments were sufficient to allow for member access and provider retention and to support appropriate reimbursement of high-value services, including where additional research is necessary to identify potential access issues.

Payment Philosophy

The Rate Review Process is a method to systematically review provider payments in comparison to other payers and evaluate access to care. This process, which includes
feedback from the MPRRAC, has helped inform HCPF’s payment philosophy for fee-for-service (FFS) rates.

Where Medicare is an appropriate comparator, HCPF believes that, in many circumstances, a reasonable threshold for payments is 80% - 100% of Medicare; however, there are four primary situations where Medicare may not be an appropriate model when comparing a rate, including, but not limited to:

1. Medicare does not cover services covered by Colorado Medicaid or Medicare does not have a publicly available rate (e.g., dental & maternity services).
2. Medicare’s population is different enough that services rendered do not necessarily translate to similar services covered by Colorado Medicaid.
3. Instances where differences between Colorado Medicaid’s and Medicare’s payment methodologies prohibit valid rate comparison, even if covered services are similar (e.g., some health education services).
4. There is a known issue with Medicare’s rates (e.g., home health services).

When Medicare is not an appropriate comparator, HCPF may use its rate setting methodology to develop rates. This methodology incorporates indirect and direct care requirements, facility expense expectations, administrative expense expectations, and capital overhead expense expectations.

While HCPF has historically viewed payments between 80% - 100% of Medicare and payments determined by the rate setting methodology as reasonable, factors such as those listed below, must be considered when setting or changing a rate. These include:

- budget constraints that may prevent payment at a certain amount;
- investigating whether a rate change could create distributional problems that may negatively impact individual providers and understanding feasible mitigation strategies;
- identifying certain services where HCPF may want to adjust rates to incentivize utilization of high value services;
- complaints received from primary care physicians (PCP) and members indicating that specialists, while enrolled in the Medicaid network, are not accepting Medicaid patients for care, thus impeding member access; the access appears to exist measured by specialty provider enrollment but is not equally presenting via the patient or PCP experience; and
- developing systems to ensure that payments are associated with high-quality provision of services.

When the Rate Review Process indicates a current rate does not align with HCPF’s payment philosophy, HCPF may recommend or implement a rate change. It is also important to note that HCPF may or may not recommend a change, due to the considerations listed above.
Format of Report

Information below explains the sections within each service grouping of the report, including each section's basic structure and content.

Service Description

Service definitions, procedure or revenue codes, and member and provider data are outlined in this section. This section is designed to provide the reader with an understanding of the service grouping under review, as well as the scale of members utilizing and providers delivering this service grouping. Summary statistics are provided for each service grouping. Those statistics and time period they represent are:

- Total Adjusted Expenditures - FY 2021-22.
- Total Members Utilizing Services - FY 2021-22.
- Year-over-year Change in Members Utilizing Services - FY 2020-21 - FY 2021-22
- Total Active Providers - FY 2021-22.
- Year-over-year Change in Active Providers - FY 2020-21 - FY 2021-22

Rate Comparison Analysis

HCPF contracted with the actuarial firm, Optumas, to assist in the comparison of Colorado Medicaid provider rates to those of other payers. The resulting rate comparison analysis outlined in this section provides a reference point for how Colorado Medicaid reimbursement rates compare to other payers.

Analysis in this section is based on FY 2021-22 administrative claims data and contains a rate benchmark comparison, which describes (as a percentage) how Colorado Medicaid payments compare to other payers. This section also lists the number of procedure codes compared to either Medicare or an average of other states’ Medicaid rates, and the range of individual rate ratios.

HCPF first examined whether a service had a corresponding Medicare rate to identify comparator rates for analysis. Medicare rates were primarily relied upon for this analysis when available and appropriate. When Medicare rates were unavailable, HCPF relied upon other state Medicaid agency rates when the benchmark states have applicable fee-for-service rates for the service category. HCPF utilizes Medicare rates for comparison for reasons including:

- Medicare is the single largest health insurer in the country and is often recognized by the health insurance industry as a reference for payment policies and rates;
- Medicare’s rates, methodologies, and service definitions are generally available to the public;
- Medicare’s rates are typically updated on a periodic basis; and
- Most services covered by Colorado Medicaid are also covered by the Medicare program.
Access to Care Analysis

HCPF contracted with the Center for Improving Value in Health Care (CIVHC) to assist in evaluating access. The access to care analysis shows provider participation within each service under review. It should be noted that this metric does not measure actual utilization compared to network enrollment, creating an opportunity going forward. Again, a provider may be enrolled in Medicaid but is not accepting patient referrals, due to Medicaid reimbursement rates or other factors. HCPF is now reviewing all enrolled specialists to identify providers not seeing enough Medicaid members. For the purposes of this current report the current access to care metrics do not indicate how Colorado Medicaid members’ access to services in those regions compared to access for individuals with other insurance, or to the uninsured population. HCPF and MPRRAC will explore ways to expand the access to care analysis in future review cycles.

Stakeholder Feedback

This section contains summaries of stakeholder comments received during the Rate Review Process.

Additional Research

For certain service groupings and regions, particularly when HCPF’s analysis indicated a potential access issue, HCPF will work to identify other data sources that may be used to conduct additional research during the MPRRAC process. These data sources may be created and maintained as part of HCPF’s ongoing benefit management and programmatic operations, while others may be created by other organizations or State agencies. HCPF plans to use these data sources to conduct further research as HCPF’s 2023 Medicaid Provider Rate Review Analysis Report is developed. Options for additional research include:

- Examining claims and enrollment data to understand if members are accessing services in settings, or via delivery systems, that are excluded from the rate review analysis.

- Reviewing relevant, regional results on Key Performance Indicators (KPIs), which are tracked as a part of Colorado Medicaid’s delivery system, the Accountable Care Collaborative.

- Reviewing relevant, practice-level results on quality metrics, including Health Effectiveness Data and Information Set (HEDIS) and Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers & Systems (CAHPS) measures.
• Working with HCPF’s provider relations and customer service teams to understand if there is a documented pattern of provider and member concerns.

• Seeking information from the State Health Care Workforce Work team to determine the general impact of health care workforce burnout, inflation, and health care workforce shortages to understand how Medicaid reimbursement rates might have to be adjusted due to these COVID19 induced factors.

• Examining regional and statewide reports and studies published by other agencies, such as the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment (CDPHE), local public health agencies, the Center for Improving Value in Health Care (CIVHC), and the Colorado Health Institute (CHI), including the Colorado Health Access Survey (CHAS).

Recommendations
This section lists MPRRAC’s and HCPF’s recommendations for Year One (Cycle One) services as a result of the Rate Review Process. Additionally, stakeholder feedback during MPRRAC meetings is helpful for identifying additional areas for evaluation. For these reasons, some recommendations focus on further research rather than direct action on rates or policy.

Limitations
Results from this report, emerging macro and micro environmental factors (i.e.: inflation, health care workforce burnout, health care workforce shortages, etc.) and additional research will inform the development of HCPF Recommendations. Still, it is important to note limitations inherent to analyses in this report and limitations that exist generally when evaluating payment sufficiency and access to care.

The access to care analyses and resulting conclusions are based on administrative claims data. Claims-based analyses do not provide information regarding appointment wait times, quality of care, or differences in provider availability and service utilization based on insurance type; nor do claims-based analyses allow for HCPF to quantify care that an individual may have needed but did not receive nor the provider enrollment versus providers seeing Medicaid patients. In addition, data analyses use active providers, which includes any rendering provider with at least one Colorado Medicaid paid claim in a given month between July 2021 - June 2022. HCPF plans to create additional internal insight reports and to evaluate other data sources to address this. When HCPF evaluates other data sources, there may be assumptions and extrapolations made due to differences in geographic area designations, differences in population definitions, and differences in service definitions. Additionally, many of the access to care indicators are relative, and without defined standards, cannot indicate if all regions are performing well or if all regions are performing poorly.
However, these indicators, when analyzed all together, can help identify regions for focus.

There are complicating factors regarding determining rate sufficiency. Member access and provider retention are influenced by factors beyond rates, such as: provider outreach and recruitment strategies; the administrative burden of program participation; health literacy and healthcare system navigation ability; provider scheduling and operational practices; and member characteristics and behaviors. Additionally, rates may not be at their optimal level, even when there is no indication of member access or provider retention issues. For example, rates that are above optimal may lead to decreases in the provision of high-quality care or increases in the provision of services in a less cost-effective setting.

**Anesthesia**

**Service Description**

The anesthesia service grouping consists of 291 procedure codes (including reviewed and excluded procedure codes). Anesthesia includes general, local, and conscious sedation done to permit the performance of medical, surgical, and radiological procedures. Anesthesia services were previously reviewed in the 2017 Medicaid Provider Rate Review Analysis Report.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anesthesia Statistics</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Adjusted Expenditures FY 2021-22</td>
<td>$34,584,601</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Members Utilizing Services in FY 2021-22</td>
<td>90,868</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2021-22 Over FY 2020-21 Change in Members Utilizing Services</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Active Providers FY 2021-22</td>
<td>1,764</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2021-22 Over FY 2020-21 Change in Active Providers</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 3. Anesthesia expenditure and utilization data (FY 2021-22).*

**Rate Comparison Analysis**

On average, Colorado Medicaid payments for anesthesia services are estimated at 137.5% of the benchmark. A summary of the estimated total expenditures resulting from using comparable sources is presented below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anesthesia Rate Benchmark Comparison</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Colorado Repriced</strong></td>
<td>$36,268,689</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Medicare Repriced</strong></td>
<td>$26,370,722</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rate Benchmark Comparison</strong></td>
<td>137.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 4. Comparison of Colorado Medicaid anesthesia service payments to those of other payers expressed as a percentage (FY 2021-22).*
The estimated fiscal impact to Colorado Medicaid would be ($9,897,967) total funds if Colorado had reimbursed at 100% of the benchmark in FY 2021-22. Of the 291 procedure codes analyzed in this service grouping, 246 were compared to Medicare (84.5%), 39 did not have applicable repricing rates, and 6 did not have valid utilization in FY 2021-22. Individual rate ratios for anesthesia services were 129% - 271.7%.

Access to Care Analysis

The provider participation rate for anesthesia services is 53%. The provider participation ratio identifies the percentage of providers in Colorado that serve Medicaid patients relative to all state providers.

Stakeholder Feedback

See Appendix D for Stakeholder Feedback.

Additional Research

In 2020, HCPF lowered anesthesia rates to match Medicare rates, however; in 2021 Medicare lowered their rates once again which left the state of Colorado’s rates higher than Medicare. HCPF was unaware of the difference in rates until research and data were pulled for this report.

The MPRRAC requested to view the top 10 codes utilized in this service category to show the driving force behind which codes are costing each service category the most money. Any code’s rates above 140% and below 60% are shown as outliers which may indicate which codes HCPF is vastly over or underspending on.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Procedure code</th>
<th>Procedure Description</th>
<th>Paid Amount</th>
<th>CO Re-priced</th>
<th>Medicare Re-priced</th>
<th>CO as a % of Benchmark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>00840</td>
<td>ANESTH SURG LOWER ABDOMEN</td>
<td>$2,395,380</td>
<td>$2,520,471</td>
<td>$1,855,995</td>
<td>135.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>00731</td>
<td>ANES UPR GI NDSC PK NOS</td>
<td>$2,227,284</td>
<td>$2,340,760</td>
<td>$1,723,416</td>
<td>135.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>02967</td>
<td>ANESTH/ANALG VAG DELIVERY</td>
<td>$2,212,667</td>
<td>$2,330,266</td>
<td>$1,701,946</td>
<td>135.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>00790</td>
<td>ANESTH SURG UPPER ABDOMEN</td>
<td>$2,011,803</td>
<td>$2,114,520</td>
<td>$1,558,012</td>
<td>135.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>00170</td>
<td>ANESTH PROCEDURE ON MOUTH</td>
<td>$1,773,391</td>
<td>$1,863,439</td>
<td>$1,372,739</td>
<td>135.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>00961</td>
<td>ANESTH CS DELIVERY</td>
<td>$1,156,876</td>
<td>$1,215,022</td>
<td>$829,556</td>
<td>146.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>00670</td>
<td>ANESTH SPINE CORD SURGERY</td>
<td>$1,076,582</td>
<td>$1,131,195</td>
<td>$833,570</td>
<td>135.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>01480</td>
<td>ANESTH LOWER LEG BONE SURG</td>
<td>$983,851</td>
<td>$1,033,660</td>
<td>$761,279</td>
<td>135.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>01922</td>
<td>ANESTH CAT OR MRI SCAN</td>
<td>$967,917</td>
<td>$1,017,433</td>
<td>$748,485</td>
<td>135.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>00811</td>
<td>ANES LVR INTST NDSC NOS</td>
<td>$938,046</td>
<td>$985,800</td>
<td>$736,182</td>
<td>135.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Top 10 codes utilized for anesthesia services (FY 2021-22).
HCPF identified 25 outliers:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure code</th>
<th>Procedure Description</th>
<th>Paid Amount</th>
<th>CO Repaired</th>
<th>Medicare Repaired</th>
<th>CO as a % of Benchmark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01968</td>
<td>ANES/ANALG CS DELIVER ADD-ON</td>
<td>$236,571</td>
<td>$180,950</td>
<td>$128,431</td>
<td>140.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00540</td>
<td>ANESTH CHEST SURGERY</td>
<td>$61,547</td>
<td>$64,664</td>
<td>$45,737</td>
<td>141.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00865</td>
<td>ANESTH REMOVAL OF PROSTATE</td>
<td>$35,730</td>
<td>$37,555</td>
<td>$26,446</td>
<td>142.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01925</td>
<td>ANES THER INTERV RAD CARD</td>
<td>$184,788</td>
<td>$19,413</td>
<td>$13,554</td>
<td>143.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01924</td>
<td>ANESTH THER INTERV RAD ARTRL</td>
<td>$98,544</td>
<td>$101,430</td>
<td>$70,313</td>
<td>144.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01931</td>
<td>ANESTH THER INTERV RAD TIPS</td>
<td>$40,309</td>
<td>$42,413</td>
<td>$28,215</td>
<td>145.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01960</td>
<td>ANESTH VAGINAL DELIVERY</td>
<td>$1,958</td>
<td>$2,077</td>
<td>$1,427</td>
<td>145.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00567</td>
<td>ANESTH CABG W/PUMP</td>
<td>$109,087</td>
<td>$114,666</td>
<td>$78,412</td>
<td>146.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01961</td>
<td>ANESTH CS DELIVERY</td>
<td>$1,196,876</td>
<td>$1,215,022</td>
<td>$829,556</td>
<td>146.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01933</td>
<td>ANES TX INTERV RAD CRAN VEN</td>
<td>$2,729</td>
<td>$2,868</td>
<td>$1,956</td>
<td>146.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00834</td>
<td>ANESTH HERNIA REPAIR &lt; 1 YR</td>
<td>$12,541</td>
<td>$13,176</td>
<td>$8,934</td>
<td>147.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01770</td>
<td>ANESTH LIPPR ARM ARTERY SURG</td>
<td>$13,703</td>
<td>$14,397</td>
<td>$9,729</td>
<td>148.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00851</td>
<td>ANESTH TUBAL LIGATION</td>
<td>$135,080</td>
<td>$141,329</td>
<td>$94,914</td>
<td>148.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01963</td>
<td>ANESTH CS Hysterectomy</td>
<td>$1,161</td>
<td>$1,220</td>
<td>$815</td>
<td>149.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01926</td>
<td>ANES TX INTERV RAD HRT/CRAN</td>
<td>$203,065</td>
<td>$214,017</td>
<td>$142,131</td>
<td>150.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01214</td>
<td>ANESTH HIP ARTHROPLASTY</td>
<td>$340,959</td>
<td>$358,281</td>
<td>$236,454</td>
<td>151.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00147</td>
<td>ANESTH IRENECTOMY</td>
<td>$877</td>
<td>$922</td>
<td>$595</td>
<td>154.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01930</td>
<td>ANESTH THER INTERV RAD VEN</td>
<td>$84,478</td>
<td>$88,788</td>
<td>$57,319</td>
<td>154.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01932</td>
<td>ANES TX INTERV RAD TH VEN</td>
<td>$6,743</td>
<td>$7,084</td>
<td>$4,537</td>
<td>156.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01952</td>
<td>ANESTH BURN 4-9 PERCENT</td>
<td>$83,657</td>
<td>$87,892</td>
<td>$52,731</td>
<td>166.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00328</td>
<td>ANESTH LARYNX/THRA &lt; 1 YR</td>
<td>$64,750</td>
<td>$68,037</td>
<td>$40,499</td>
<td>168.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00142</td>
<td>ANESTH LENS SURGERY</td>
<td>$491,864</td>
<td>$516,932</td>
<td>$283,291</td>
<td>182.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01951</td>
<td>ANESTH BURN LESS 4 PERCENT</td>
<td>$30,874</td>
<td>$32,457</td>
<td>$15,469</td>
<td>209.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01953</td>
<td>ANESTH BURN EACH 9 PERCENT</td>
<td>$14,632</td>
<td>$496</td>
<td>$197</td>
<td>251.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00835</td>
<td>ANESTH LUMBAR PUNCTURE</td>
<td>$208,074</td>
<td>$218,718</td>
<td>$80,493</td>
<td>271.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6. Outliers for anesthesia services (FY 2021-22).

The MPRRAC also requested a visual to represent the utilizer to provider ratio, as shown below. Darker colors represent heavier utilization, on the contrary, lighter colors represent less utilization.
MPRRAC Recommendations

● The MPRRAC suggests consideration of the difference between moderate and general sedation when it comes to reimbursement rates.
● The MPRRAC recommends introducing a travel rate for anesthesia providers due to additional travel costs and an expected improvement of access to care.
● The MPRRAC members support bringing down the rate to 100% of the benchmark, however voiced two main concerns:
  ○ Increase of cost to supplies (example: COVID-19 protocols, supply chain issues, inflation).
  ○ Decreases may impact certain codes more than others.
● The anticipated fiscal impact of MPRRAC’s recommendations is predicted to be ($9,897,967) total funds, ($2,896,344) General Fund.

HCPF Recommendations

● HCPF recommends a reduction in anesthesia service rates to 100% of the benchmark.
● The anticipated fiscal impact of HCPF’s recommendations is predicted to be ($9,897,967) total funds, and ($2,896,344) General Fund.
Policy Justification

HCPF agrees with MPRRAC’s recommendation to bring rates down to 100% of the benchmark. However, HCPF disagrees with introducing a travel rate for traveling anesthesia providers because HCPF does not have a clear idea of what travel rates for anesthesia providers would look like or how it would function in practice. HCPF does not currently have any way to differentiate services by traveling anesthesiologists from other anesthesia services so it’s difficult to estimate what kind of expenditure this would be. If HCPF were to identify a modifier, providers could use this to indicate travel that would correspond to a higher rate. HCPF would also need to implement some kind of oversight that currently does not exist to ensure appropriate utilization.

Ambulatory Surgical Centers

Service Description

The ambulatory surgical centers (ASCs) service grouping comprises 11 groupers. ASCs are distinct entities that provide a surgical setting for members who do not require hospitalization. ASC services were previously reviewed in the 2019 Medicaid Provider Rate Review Analysis Report.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ambulatory Surgical Centers Statistics</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Adjusted Expenditures FY 2021-22</td>
<td>$13,381,112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Members Utilizing Services in FY 2021-22</td>
<td>21,795</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2021-22 Over FY 2020-21 Change in Members Utilizing Services</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Active Providers FY 2021-22</td>
<td>305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2021-22 Over FY 2020-21 Change in Active Providers</td>
<td>12.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 7. Ambulatory Surgical Centers expenditure and utilization data (FY 2021-22).*

Rate Comparison Analysis

On average, Colorado Medicaid payments for ASC services are estimated at 53.5% of the benchmark. A summary of the estimated total expenditures resulting from using comparable sources is presented below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ambulatory Surgical Centers Rate Benchmark Comparison</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Colorado Re-priced</td>
<td>Medicare Re-priced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10,832,192</td>
<td>$20,265,101</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 8. Comparison of Colorado Medicaid Ambulatory Surgical Centers service payments to those of other payers, expressed as a percentage (FY 2021-22).*
The estimated fiscal impact to Colorado Medicaid would be $9,432,909 total funds if Colorado had reimbursed at 100% of the benchmark in FY 2021-22. Of the 11 groupers analyzed in this service grouping, 10 were compared to Medicare (90.9%), and 1 did not have valid utilization during FY 2021-22. Grouper rate ratios for ASCs services were 26.2% - 79.5%.

Access to Care Analysis

The provider participation rate for ASC services is 43%. The provider participation ratio identifies the percentage of providers in Colorado that serve Medicaid patients relative to all state providers.

Stakeholder Feedback

See Appendix D for Stakeholder Feedback.

Additional Research

The MPRRAC requested to view the top 10 codes utilized in this service category to show the driving force behind which codes are costing each service category the most money. Any code’s rates above 140% and below 60% are shown as outliers which may indicate which codes HCPF is vastly over or underspending on. However, comparison by procedure code was not an accurate representation for the ASC service category due to differences in payment methodologies between Medicare and Colorado Medicaid. Instead, HCPF compared payments in aggregate by ASC grouper. Payments were combined for each procedure code in each grouper for Medicaid and Medicare, then aggregate Medicaid payments were divided by aggregate Medicare payments. The table on the right depicts the benchmark percentages using the discounts under Medicare for multiple procedures done at the same time.
Table 9. The table on the left shows Medicaid payments compared to Medicare if Medicare used the same payment methodology as the State of Colorado while the table on the right shows the rate comparison with Medicare’s multiple procedure discounting methodology included in the Medicare repricing. (FY 2021-22).

HCPF identified 6 ASC grouper outliers:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assigned Rate Type</th>
<th>Medicaid Repriced - TPL</th>
<th>Medicare Repriced</th>
<th>% of Benchmark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A03</td>
<td>$ 834,843</td>
<td>$ 3,187,907</td>
<td>26.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A06</td>
<td>$ 250,098</td>
<td>$ 738,342</td>
<td>33.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A04</td>
<td>$ 603,662</td>
<td>$ 1,702,973</td>
<td>35.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A05</td>
<td>$ 595,826</td>
<td>$ 1,605,658</td>
<td>37.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A07</td>
<td>$ 253,733</td>
<td>$ 611,368</td>
<td>41.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A01</td>
<td>$ 2,063,865</td>
<td>$ 3,627,303</td>
<td>56.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$ 10,832,192</td>
<td>$ 20,265,101</td>
<td>53.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 10. Outlier for ASC services (FY 2021-22).

The MPRRAC also requested a visual to represent the utilizer to provider ratio, as shown below. Darker colors represent heavier utilization, on the contrary, lighter colors represent less utilization.
MPRRAC Recommendations

- The MPRRAC recommends an increase of ASC rates to at least 80% of the benchmark.
  - This is equivalent to increasing the current rates by 54%
- The anticipated fiscal impact of the MPRRAC’s recommendations is predicted to be $5,379,889 total funds, $1,574,264 General Fund.

HCPF Recommendations

- HCPF recommends a reform in payment methodology for ASC providers to the existing Enhanced Ambulatory Patient Grouper methodology utilized for Outpatient Hospital claims.
- HCPF recommends increasing ASC rates to 75% of the benchmark.
  - This is equivalent to increasing the current rates by 21.5%
- The anticipated fiscal impact of the HCPF’s recommendations is predicted to be $4,366,634 total funds, $1,277,764 General Fund.

Policy Justification

HCPF recommends an increase to 75% of the benchmark rather than MPRRAC’s 80% in an effort to encourage greater utilization of lower-cost options for surgeries while working towards an updated payment methodology that will address the majority of
ASC rate concerns. Further, the benchmark is an inaccurate comparison because Medicare does not pay the same way that Medicaid pays.

Fee-for-Service Behavioral Health Services

Service Description

The fee-for-service (FFS) behavioral health service grouping consists of 31 procedure codes. HCPF pays for a small number of behavioral health services directly (FFS), outside of the Capitated Behavioral Health Benefit. A behavioral health code is paid FFS when the service is provided for a diagnosis not covered in the managed care benefit, such as when a psychological assessment is required for a surgical procedure; if it is not a procedure covered by managed care benefit, such as developmental testing even if completed by a psychologist; or if it is billed for a member who is not assigned to a regional accountable entity, usually due to retroactive billing for newly enrolled members. This set of codes reviewed was specific to mental health and neuropsychological codes, and does not include substance use codes. Additionally, the Short Term Behavioral Health Visit benefit is reimbursed by FFS. It is limited to six visits per member per year. Only FFS behavioral health rates are included in the analysis. Most codes under FFS behavioral health services were previously reviewed in the 2019 Medicaid Provider Rate Review Analysis Report. However, some codes that are now under FFS behavioral health services were previously reviewed under Physician - Cognitive Capabilities in the 2022 Medicaid Provider Rate Review Analysis Report.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Description</th>
<th>Fee-for-Service Behavioral Health Services Statistics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Adjusted Expenditures FY 2021-22</td>
<td>$18,734,736</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Members Utilizing Services in FY 2021-22</td>
<td>112,683</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2021-22 Over FY 2020-21 Change in Members Utilizing Services</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Active Providers Billing FFS BH in FY 2021-22</td>
<td>3,699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2021-22 Over FY 2020-21 Change in Active Providers</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 11. Fee-for-Service Behavioral Health Services expenditure and utilization data.*

Rate Comparison Analysis

On average, Colorado Medicaid payments for FFS Behavioral Health Services are estimated at 97.0% of the benchmark. A summary of the estimated total expenditures resulting from using comparable sources is presented below.
The estimated fiscal impact to Colorado Medicaid would be $556,300 total funds if Colorado had reimbursed at 100% of the benchmark in FY 2021-22. Of the 31 procedure codes analyzed in this service grouping, 30 were compared to Medicare (96.8%), and 1 (96110) was compared to other states. Individual rate ratios for FFS Behavioral Health Services were 51.1% - 401.3%.

Access to Care Analysis

The provider participation rate for FFS Behavioral Health Services is 49%. The provider participation ratio identifies the percentage of providers in Colorado that serve Medicaid patients relative to all state providers.

Stakeholder Feedback

See Appendix D for Stakeholder Feedback.

Additional Research

The MPRRAC requested to view the top 10 codes utilized in this service category to show the driving force behind which codes are costing each service category the most money. Any code’s rates above 140% and below 60% are shown as outliers which may indicate which codes HCPF is vastly over or underspending on.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Procedure code</th>
<th>Procedure Description</th>
<th>Paid Amount</th>
<th>CO Repriced</th>
<th>Medicare/Other States Repriced</th>
<th>Medicare/Other States Repriced - TPL</th>
<th>CO as a % of Benchmark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>90813</td>
<td>PSYX W PT W/O MD MIN</td>
<td>$ 2,891,449</td>
<td>$ 12,173</td>
<td>$ 2,066,166</td>
<td>$ 3,385,749</td>
<td>87.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>90811</td>
<td>NSRPSY TST EVAL PHYS/HP FA</td>
<td>$ 2,540,205</td>
<td>$ 24,528</td>
<td>$ 2,34,244</td>
<td>$ 2,648,772</td>
<td>100.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>90817</td>
<td>PSYX W PT 60 MINUTES</td>
<td>$ 2,032,517</td>
<td>$ 25,836</td>
<td>$ 2,164,201</td>
<td>$ 2,495,110</td>
<td>86.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>90810</td>
<td>PSYX/1100 TST PHYS/HP EA</td>
<td>$ 1,964,512</td>
<td>$ 20,761</td>
<td>$ 2,071,244</td>
<td>$ 2,714,310</td>
<td>100.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>90819</td>
<td>BRIEF EMOTIONAL/BEHAV ASST</td>
<td>$ 1,575,164</td>
<td>$ 12,738</td>
<td>$ 1,235,945</td>
<td>$ 1,731,369</td>
<td>100.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>90791</td>
<td>PSYCHIATRIC EVALUATION</td>
<td>$ 1,273,268</td>
<td>$ 17,008</td>
<td>$ 1,326,090</td>
<td>$ 1,518,265</td>
<td>88.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>90732</td>
<td>NSRPSY TST EVAL PHYS/HP ST</td>
<td>$ 1,155,444</td>
<td>$ 11,773</td>
<td>$ 1,227,014</td>
<td>$ 1,238,787</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>90809</td>
<td>DEVELOPMENTAL SCREEN W/SORE</td>
<td>$ 607,372</td>
<td>$ 5,279</td>
<td>$ 277,947</td>
<td>$ 721,311</td>
<td>87.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>90780</td>
<td>PSYX W PT W/O MD 10 Min</td>
<td>$ 587,089</td>
<td>$ 13,913</td>
<td>$ 629,344</td>
<td>$ 716,331</td>
<td>87.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>90790</td>
<td>PSYCH DIAGNL W/NDSRCVS</td>
<td>$ 587,089</td>
<td>$ 13,913</td>
<td>$ 629,344</td>
<td>$ 716,331</td>
<td>87.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 13. Top 10 codes utilized for Fee-for-Service Behavioral Health services (FY 2021-22).

HCPF identified two outliers:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure code</th>
<th>Procedure Description</th>
<th>Paid Amount</th>
<th>CO Repriced</th>
<th>Medicare Repriced</th>
<th>CO as a % of Benchmark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>96139</td>
<td>PSYCL/NRPSY TST TECH EA</td>
<td>$ 62,362</td>
<td>$ 65,581</td>
<td>$ 128,341</td>
<td>51.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96146</td>
<td>PSYCL/NRPSY TST AUTO RESULT</td>
<td>$ 64.19</td>
<td>$ 67.41</td>
<td>$ 16.80</td>
<td>401%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The MPRRAC also requested a visual to represent the utilizer to provider ratio, as shown below. Darker colors represent heavier utilization, on the contrary, lighter colors represent less utilization.

**Table 14. Outlier for Fee-for-Service Behavioral Health services codes (FY 2021-22).**

**MPRRAC Recommendations**

- The MPRRAC recommends a language translation modifier for native language speakers for testing codes.
- The MPRRAC recommends reviewing four psychological testing codes (96132, 96133, 96136, 96137) under fee-for-service behavioral health services, as opposed to reviewing under Physician Services category as done previously in the 2022 Medicaid Provider Rate Review Analysis Report.
  - Some members support a higher increase above 100%, while others recommend looking at specific codes (96132, 96133, 96136, 96137) to be above 100% in order to alleviate the bottleneck in accessing psychological assessments.
- The anticipated fiscal impact of the MPRRAC’s recommendation is predicted to be $319,452 total funds, $159,726 General Fund.

---

*Figure 3. Fee-for-Service Behavioral Health services utilizer to provider ratio per county (FY 2021-22).*
HCPF Recommendations

- HCPF recommends reverting the rates for 2 ASD/Development screening assessment codes (96110 and 96127) to $18.39 to reflect the rates before the 2019/2022 MPRRAC review plus the 3% across-the-board rate increase applied for FY 2023-24.
- The anticipated fiscal impact of the HCPF’s recommendations is predicted to be $1,664,157 total funds, $822,078 General Fund.

Policy Justification

Two assessment codes, 96127 and 96110, are used in Colorado for Developmental screenings (96110) and screenings for Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) (96127) by primary care physicians. Unfortunately, there are no appropriate Medicare benchmarks for these codes.

HCPF removed these codes from the mental health subset of codes and reviewed them under the physician services codes in 2018. This HCPF specific use of code 96127 is due to the requirement from CMS in 2020 that states were to separate developmental and ASD screenings from each other for mandatory reporting. A 2020 physician and stakeholder workgroup made the recommendations to use these two codes for the required reporting. That change was noticed in the June 2020 HCPF provider bulletin. The use of the codes and the provider bulletin were not reviewed by the MPRRAC committee when reviewing these codes. It is important to note that the rates for these codes were significantly higher than Medicare due to their use in ASD screenings for children, which is not common in Medicare.

These codes provide the same services, just with a different screening tool. The price differential approved by the MPRRAC committee last year is not sustainable for providers. Doctors and therapists now recognize the importance of early intervention when it comes to ASD. Studies suggest early intervention may be even more beneficial. In fact, children who receive intensive therapy early in their life span move higher on the ASD scale and around 20% are able to move out of the spectrum. However, the screening needs to be accomplished to rule children into the appropriate services. If children are not screened for ASD in pediatric and other primary care offices at 9, 18 and 30 months, they will not be able to access early and timely services, leaving the state at risk of needing to provide more treatment to an older child with less benefit. Therefore the codes should be reverted to their prior rates and be priced the same.

Although MPRRAC recommends four codes to be raised to 100% of the benchmark, due to budget restraints HCPF will not be prioritizing funding for this code set due to already being at 97% average. HCPF has previously explored a billing code for translation services, which was ruled out due to cost and therefore not included in
HCPF’s recommendation. There can be further research exploring this language translation modifier in the future.

**Pediatric Behavioral Therapy**

**Service Description**

The pediatric behavioral therapy (PBT) service grouping consists of 6 procedure codes/modifier combinations. PBT services consist of adaptive behavior treatment services, as well as evaluation and assessment services, for children ages 0-20. PBT services are covered by Early Periodic Screening, Diagnostic, and Treatment (EPSDT). This benefit was created through EPSDT in January 2018, after being removed as a waiver service. These services are provided both in home and clinical settings. PBT services were previously reviewed in the 2020 Medicaid Provider Rate Review Analysis Report.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pediatric Behavioral Therapy Statistics</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Adjusted Expenditures FY 2021-22</td>
<td>$124,914,666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Members Utilizing Services in FY 2021-22</td>
<td>5,371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2021-22 Over FY 2020-21 Change in Members Utilizing Services</td>
<td>18.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Active Providers FY 2021-22</td>
<td>820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2021-22 Over FY 2020-21 Change in Active Providers</td>
<td>24.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 15. Pediatric Behavioral Therapy expenditure and utilization data.*

**Rate Comparison Analysis**

On average, Colorado Medicaid payments for PBT services are estimated at 78.7% of the benchmark. A summary of the estimated total expenditures resulting from using comparable sources is presented below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pediatric Behavioral Therapy Rate Benchmark Comparison</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Colorado Repriced</td>
<td>Other States Repriced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$126,433,251</td>
<td>$160,714,783</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 16. Comparison of Colorado Medicaid Pediatric Behavioral Therapy service payments to those of other payers, expressed as a percentage (FY 2021-22).*

The estimated fiscal impact to Colorado Medicaid would be $34,281,532 total funds if Colorado had reimbursed at 100% of the benchmark in FY 2021-22. Of the 6 procedure codes/modifier combinations analyzed in this service grouping, 5 were compared to an average of ten other states’ (Florida, Massachusetts, Maryland, North Carolina,
Nebraska, Nevada, Oregon, Texas, Utah, Washington) Medicaid rates with 1 having no comparable rate. Individual rate ratios for PBT services were 36.0% - 84.8%.

Access to Care Analysis
The provider participation rate for PBT services is 85%. The provider participation ratio identifies the percentage of providers in Colorado that serve Medicaid patients relative to all state providers.

Stakeholder Feedback
See Appendix D for Stakeholder Feedback.

Additional Research
The MPRRAC requested to view the top 10 codes utilized in this service category to show the driving force behind which codes are costing each service category the most money. Any code’s rates above 140% and below 60% are shown as outliers which may indicate which codes HCPF is vastly over or underspending on. However, there are only six codes under review. During the rate research process, HCPF found that the 97151 + TJ (behavior identification re-assessment code) modifier is specific to Colorado and not used by other states. Therefore, the transformation for the procedure code 97151 without modifier in Colorado specifically was taking the flat rate of $330.94 (Colorado Medicaid assumes 8 hours total when billing 97151 versus other states’ billing per 15-minutes), dividing by 15 minutes to come to the conclusion of the Colorado reimbursement rate of $10.34 per 15-minute unit, which further translates to $9.80 per 15-minute unit after living cost adjustment. With this translation established, HCPF removed 97151 + TJ out of the analysis.

Here, the cost of living adjustment index reflected the timeframe of 2023 Q1, which was downloaded from Missouri Economic Research and Information Center on July 18, 2023. The underlying data source is the C2ER (Council for Community & Economic Research) Cost of Living Index, which is ranked as one of the most reliable source of region-to-region quarterly comparisons of key consumer costs and is referenced by many academic articles posted on the Census Academy of United States Census Bureau.

HCPF conducted a rate comparison analysis with cost of living adjustment considered. There were 7 states selected for the first round PBT analysis: Florida, Louisiana, North Carolina, Nevada, Texas, Utah, and Washington. Six out of these seven states were selected for the second round of PBT analysis and Louisiana was removed as the PBT service is under a managed care model. Based on data from Colorado Association for Behavior Analysis (COABA), Nebraska was added to the analysis. In addition, Dr. Peter Walsh (Chief Medicaid Officer at HCPF) recommended Oregon and Gina Robinson (PBT Subject Matter Expert at HCPF) suggested Massachusetts and
Michigan. The PBT fee schedule data was not able to be retrieved from Michigan state Medicaid website due to a technical issue, so Michigan was replaced with Maryland. The final states used in the analysis are: Florida, Massachusetts, Maryland, North Carolina, Nebraska, Nevada, Oregon, Texas, Utah, and Washington. HCPF acknowledges that four of the states used in this comparison have a higher cost of living than Colorado (Massachusetts, Maryland, Oregon, Washington), while six have a lower cost of living (North Carolina, Nebraska, Texas, Florida, Nevada, Utah). All of the comparison states have a similar fee-for-service reimbursement model for PBT services in order to maintain comparison integrity.

![Table 17. Pediatric behavioral therapy codes compared to 10 other states adjusted for cost of living. (FY 2021-22).](image)

Additionally, HCPF compared rates to Colorado Tricare using current Tricare rates as of July 1, 2023.

![Table 18. Pediatric behavioral therapy codes compared to Colorado Tricare rates. (FY 2021-22).](image)

The MPRRAC also requested a visual to represent the utilizer to provider ratio, as shown below. Darker colors represent heavier utilization, on the contrary, lighter colors represent less utilization.
HCPF recommends removing Nebraska out of the benchmark state list since it is an extreme outlier with higher PBT rates compared to other 9 states (with rates that are 41% - 508% above other states in the benchmark cohort). The rate comparison analysis data is summarized as below after removing Nebraska.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pediatric Behavioral Therapy Rate Benchmark Comparison</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Colorado Repriced</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$126,433,251</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 19. Comparison of Colorado Medicaid Pediatric Behavioral Therapy service payments to those of other payers, expressed as a percentage (FY 2021-22) after removing Nebraska.

Including NE in the analysis brought Colorado's rates as a percentage of the benchmark to 78.7% versus 90.7% without Nebraska in the analysis. Because of the impact of Nebraska on the analysis, HCPF left Nebraska out of its benchmark analysis for purposes of its recommendation. This allows HCPF to achieve greater balance across provider types for this year's rate increases.
The estimated fiscal impact to Colorado Medicaid would be $13,019,386 total funds if Colorado had reimbursed at 100% of the benchmark in FY 2021-22 except for 97158 (which is already at 128.5%). Of the 6 procedure codes/modifier combinations analyzed in this service grouping, 5 were compared to an average of nine other states’ (Florida, Massachusetts, Maryland, North Carolina, Nevada, Oregon, Texas, Utah, Washington) Medicaid rates with 1 code having no comparable rate. Individual rate ratios for PBT services, excluding NE, were 41.9% - 128.5%.

The final states used in the analysis are: Florida, Massachusetts, Maryland, North Carolina, Nevada, Oregon, Texas, Utah, and Washington. HCPF acknowledges that four of the states used in this comparison have a higher cost of living than Colorado (Massachusetts, Maryland, Oregon, Washington), while five have a lower cost of living (North Carolina, Texas, Florida, Nevada, Utah). All of the comparison states have a similar fee-for-service reimbursement model for PBT services in order to maintain comparison integrity.

### Table 20. Pediatric behavioral therapy codes compared to 9 other states adjusted for cost of living. (FY 2021-22).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure Code</th>
<th>Procedure Description</th>
<th>CO HealthFirst</th>
<th>Other States</th>
<th>Other States Average</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>97151</td>
<td>BIV/DASSMT BY PHYS/CHP</td>
<td>$ 9.80</td>
<td>$ 13.82</td>
<td>$ 12.71</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97153</td>
<td>ADAPTIVE BEHAVIOR TX BY TECH</td>
<td>$ 13.64</td>
<td>$ 15.92</td>
<td>$ 14.03</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97154</td>
<td>GRP/ADAPT BIV/TX BY TECH</td>
<td>$ 6.62</td>
<td>$ 6.63</td>
<td>$ 6.28</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97155</td>
<td>ADAPT BEHAVIOR TX/PHYS/CHP</td>
<td>$ 31.36</td>
<td>$ 16.76</td>
<td>$ 20.71</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97156</td>
<td>GRP/ADAPT BIV/PHYS/CHP</td>
<td>$ 10.64</td>
<td>$ 8.39</td>
<td>$ 7.64</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### MPRRAC Recommendations

- The MPRRAC recommends increasing PBT rates to 100% of the benchmark of the other ten states and opening up a list of codes that are not currently covered by Colorado Medicaid (such as codes covering parent training).
  - Codes include: 97152, 97156, 97157, 0362T, 0373T
- The anticipated fiscal impact of the MPRRAC’s recommendations is predicted to be $34,281,532 total funds, $17,140,766 General Fund.

### HCPF Recommendations

- HCPF recommends raising all rates to 100% of the benchmark, excluding Nebraska (90.7%).
  - Nebraska is an extreme outlier with rates that are between 41% - 508% above other states in the benchmark cohort. For example, the Nebraska rate for 97155 per unit is $36.11 in 2023, which is 41% higher than the
average rate of other nine states. Its rate for 97158 per unit is $54.17, which is 508% higher than the average rate of other nine states.

- Including NE in the analysis brought Colorado's rates as a percentage of the benchmark to 78.7% versus 90.7% without Nebraska in the analysis
  - Because of the impact of Nebraska on the analysis, HCPF left Nebraska out of its benchmark analysis for purposes of its recommendation. This allows HCPF to achieve greater balance across provider types for this year's rate increases.

- HCPF recommends leaving one procedure code (97158) with a benchmark ratio as 128.5% leave at its current rate.
- The anticipated fiscal impact of the HCPF’s recommendations is predicted to be $13,019,386 total funds, $6,509,693 General Fund.

Policy Justification

Given the existing waitlists for services, it is critical for HCPF to establish a reimbursement that supports this specialized workforce and expedites member access to treatment as early as possible. HCPF prioritized 97151 and 97153 to be raised to 100% based on provider feedback that these two codes would make the most impact on PBT technician workforce retention.

HCPF does not have CMS approval to cover parent training and did not receive approval when HCPF originally opened this benefit. HCPF is exploring coverage and payment options at this time and will move forward with the MPRRAC recommendation if and when approval from CMS is received.

Maternity Services

Service Description

The maternity service grouping comprises 50 procedure codes. Maternity services are any medically necessary pregnancy related service that is covered during the obstetrical period, beginning on the date of the initial visit in which pregnancy was confirmed and extending through the end of the postpartum period (generally considered ~60 days following delivery). Most maternity related services are reimbursed utilizing global maternity codes for services (including antepartum care, labor and delivery, and postpartum care) that are provided during the maternity period for uncomplicated pregnancies. Normal antepartum care includes monthly visits up to 28 weeks gestation, biweekly visits to 36 weeks gestation and weekly visits until delivery. Maternity care for High-Risk Pregnancies and/or Complications of Pregnancy, where patients at risk are seen more frequently during the prenatal period
or for other medical/surgical intervention, are usually billed outside of the normal global OB package for these specific services. Any additional medically necessary visits are usually reported separately with billing codes selected to represent the appropriate level of Evaluation and Management services, as well as billed for separately identified services, such as for other medically necessary laboratory or radiologic tests performed. Maternity services were previously reviewed in the 2018 Medicaid Provider Rate Review Analysis Report.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maternity Services Statistics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Adjusted Expenditures FY 2021-22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Members Utilizing Services in FY 2021-22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2021-22 Over FY 2020-21 Change in Members Utilizing Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Active Providers FY 2021-22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2021-22 Over FY 2020-21 Change in Active Providers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 21. Maternity services expenditure and utilization data.*

**Rate Comparison Analysis**

On average, Colorado Medicaid payments for maternity services are estimated at 76.1% of the benchmark. A summary of the estimated total expenditures resulting from using comparable sources is presented below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maternity Services Rate Benchmark Comparison</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Colorado Repriced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$28,378,660</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 22. Comparison of Colorado Medicaid Maternity Service payments to those of other payers, expressed as a percentage (FY 2021-22).*

The estimated fiscal impact to Colorado Medicaid would be $8,935,044 total funds if Colorado had reimbursed at 100% of the benchmark in FY 2021-22. Of the 50 procedure codes analyzed in this service grouping, 42 were compared to Medicare (84.0%), 2 did not have applicable repricing rates, and 6 did not have valid utilization during FY 2021-22. Individual rate ratios for maternity services were 54.8% - 124.3%.

**Access to Care Analysis**

The provider participation rate for maternity services is 79%. The provider participation ratio identifies the percentage of providers in Colorado that serve Medicaid patients relative to all state providers.
Stakeholder Feedback
See Appendix D for Stakeholder Feedback.

Additional Research

The MPRRAC requested to view the top 10 codes utilized in this service category to show the driving force behind which codes are costing each service category the most money. The top 10 codes represent 96.66% of the total dollars spent on maternity services. Any code’s rates above 140% and below 60% are shown as outliers which may indicate which codes HCPF is vastly over or underspending on.

Table 23. Top 10 codes utilized for maternity services (FY 2021-22).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Procedure code</th>
<th>Procedure Description</th>
<th>Paid Amount</th>
<th>CO Repriced</th>
<th>Medicare Repriced</th>
<th>CO as a % of Benchmark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>59400</td>
<td>OBSTETRICAL CARE</td>
<td>$12,479,676</td>
<td>$13,126,685</td>
<td>$18,926,210</td>
<td>69.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>59510</td>
<td>CESAREAN DELIVERY</td>
<td>$4,647,210</td>
<td>$4,885,956</td>
<td>$6,861,049</td>
<td>71.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>59409</td>
<td>OBSTETRICAL CARE</td>
<td>$2,655,255</td>
<td>$2,808,269</td>
<td>$2,823,494</td>
<td>99.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>59514</td>
<td>CESAREAN DELIVERY ONLY</td>
<td>$1,486,595</td>
<td>$3,246,300</td>
<td>$3,143,400</td>
<td>103.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>59425</td>
<td>FETAL NON-STRESS TEST</td>
<td>$820,602</td>
<td>$1,013,424</td>
<td>$1,246,035</td>
<td>81.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>59410</td>
<td>OBSTETRICAL CARE</td>
<td>$874,149</td>
<td>$708,519</td>
<td>$825,108</td>
<td>85.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>59610</td>
<td>VBAC DELIVERY</td>
<td>$484,273</td>
<td>$509,049</td>
<td>$711,081</td>
<td>71.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>59426</td>
<td>ANTEPARTUM CARE ONLY</td>
<td>$461,080</td>
<td>$484,446</td>
<td>$724,283</td>
<td>66.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>59515</td>
<td>CESAREAN DELIVERY</td>
<td>$390,686</td>
<td>$410,714</td>
<td>$470,089</td>
<td>87.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>59425</td>
<td>ANTEPARTUM CARE ONLY</td>
<td>$244,948</td>
<td>$267,341</td>
<td>$376,207</td>
<td>88.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HCPF identified four outliers:

Table 24. Outliers for maternity service codes (FY 2021-22).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure code</th>
<th>Procedure Description</th>
<th>Paid Amount</th>
<th>CO Repriced</th>
<th>Medicare Repriced</th>
<th>CO as a % of Benchmark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>59130</td>
<td>TREAT ECTOPIC PREGNANCY</td>
<td>$998</td>
<td>$1,048</td>
<td>$1,911</td>
<td>54.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59160</td>
<td>D &amp; C AFTER DELIVERY</td>
<td>$36,154</td>
<td>$43,347</td>
<td>$77,443</td>
<td>56.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59300</td>
<td>EPISIOTOMY OR VAGINAL REPAIR</td>
<td>$7,242</td>
<td>$8,543</td>
<td>$15,242</td>
<td>56.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59430</td>
<td>CARE AFTER DELIVERY</td>
<td>$54,158</td>
<td>$57,383</td>
<td>$98,573</td>
<td>58.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The MPRRAC also requested a visual to represent the utilizer to provider ratio, as shown below. Darker colors represent heavier utilization, on the contrary, lighter colors represent less utilization.
MPRRAC Recommendations

- The MPRRAC recommends an increase of maternity rates to 100% of the benchmark.
- The anticipated fiscal impact of the MPRRAC’s recommendations is predicted to be $8,942,246 total funds, $4,471,123 General Fund.

HCPF Recommendations

- HCPF recommends 14 out of 18 general maternity service & care codes increase to 100% of the benchmark (59160, 59300, 59400, 59410, 59425, 59426, 59430, 59510, 59515, 59610, 59614, 59618, 59622, 59830).
  - HCPF recommends that the 4 out of 18 general maternity service & care codes that are already above 90% to remain at their current rate (59350, 59409, 59525, 59612).
- HCPF recommends 12 out of 14 pregnancy or non-viable pregnancy codes increase to 80% of the benchmark (59070, 59120, 59121, 59130, 59150, 59001, 59015, 59200, 59812, 59820, 59821, 59870).
○ HCPF recommends that the 2 out of 14 pregnancy or non-viable pregnancy codes that are above 80% to remain at their current rate (59025, 59151).
- HCPF recommends the 10 pregnancy-related codes to remain at their current rate (59000, 59012, 59051, 59140, 59412, 59414, 59514, 59620, 59871).
- The anticipated fiscal impact of the HCPF's recommendations is predicted to be $8,494,404 total funds, $4,247,202 General Fund.

Policy Justification
Recommended increases in rates for codes focused on supporting provider’s provision of specific maternity-related services, including prenatal and postpartum care. These services promote improved pregnancy outcomes, reduce maternal morbidity and mortality, and include the service codes for Global (prenatal + Labor & Delivery (L&D) + postpartum care), Partial (L&D & postpartum), and Individual (prenatal & postpartum) maternity related services. Rate increases also focused on specific reproductive care related to labor and delivery.

Abortion Services
Service Description
The abortion service grouping comprises 8 procedure codes. Per Federal/State guidelines, Health First Colorado covers abortion services if one of the three following circumstances exists: 1. A life-endangering condition for the pregnant individual and under situations of, 2. Rape, or 3. Incest. Abortion services have not been formally reviewed as a separate service until this report. Most codes from this category are also used for other reproductive healthcare services, so it has historically been reviewed as a part of maternity services. The following codes (7) had no utilization: 59855, 59841, 59850, 59851, 59852, 59856, 59857. Individual rate ratios for Abortion services were 23.0% - 57.7%.

Access to Care Analysis
The provider participation rate for abortion services is undefined due to utilization. The provider participation ratio identifies the percentage of providers in Colorado that serve Medicaid patients relative to all state providers.

Stakeholder Feedback
See Appendix D for Stakeholder Feedback.
Additional Research

Due to lack of claims and Personal Health Information (PHI) HCPF was not able to publish an analysis, however, a basic comparison between the Colorado Medicaid Rate ($204.72) and the Medicare Non-Facility Rate ($256.69) can be shared.

Based on provider feedback, HCPF selected California (CA), Oregon (OR), and Illinois (IL) as a comparison for the code 59840. HCPF took the average of the 3 states (CA = $250.85; OR = $170.60; IL = $642.18) rates to find a sustainable rate for providers in Colorado (Average = $354.54).

Based on provider feedback, HCPF selected California (CA) and Illinois (IL) as a comparison for the code 59841. HCPF took the average of the 2 states (CA = $700.00; IL = $1,600.00) rates to find a sustainable rate for providers in Colorado (Average = $1,150).

MPRRAC Recommendations

- The MPRRAC recommends increasing rates closer to other states’ Medicaid programs because the rates are only reviewed every three years.
- One suggestion is a targeted rate increase because there is insufficient information due to HIPAA prohibiting the disclosure of codes with less than 30 claims and concerns about how a rate increase may impact other services’ rate increases:
  - Concerns about using different states as a benchmark because other factors may not be comparable to Colorado.
  - Concerns that Medicare is not used as the benchmark.
- The anticipated fiscal impact of the MPRRAC’s recommendations is N/A.

HCPF Recommendations

- HCPF recommends raising the reimbursement rate for code 59840 (Dilation & Curettage) to $354.54.
- HCPF recommends raising the reimbursement rate for code 59841 (Dilation & Evacuation) to $1,150.00.
- The anticipated fiscal impact of HCPF’s recommendations is predicted to be $325 total funds, $162 General Fund.

Policy Justification

The reimbursement rate for 59840 has never been adjusted. As a covered benefit under certain circumstances, procedure code 59840 should follow the same methodology used to evaluate rates for other covered services. The MPRRAC did not feel
comfortable with giving a definitive recommendation due to HIPAA prohibiting the disclosure of codes with less than 30 claims.

To address MPRRAC’s concerns of using other states as the benchmark rather than Medicare, the majority of Medicare recipients are of an age demographic (older than 65 years old) where abortions are not commonly requested. Therefore, it is the most reasonable comparison to select other state Medicaid programs where abortion coverage is similar to Colorado’s current coverage.

**Dental Services**

**Service Description**

The dental service grouping comprises 523 procedure codes (including both reviewed and excluded procedure codes). Historically, Colorado Medicaid covered dental services for children; Colorado Medicaid began covering dental services for adults in 2013. Colorado Medicaid partners with DentaQuest, which operates as an Administrative Services Only organization (ASO), to help members find a dental provider and manage dental benefits. Due to [SB 22-236](https://legis.colorado.gov/Bills/2022/Introduced?BillNumber=22-236), HCPF was required to update the proposed service categories under review from a five-year cycle to a three-year cycle. Dental services were initially proposed for review in 2024, which would result in this category to go five years without a review, whereas under the new proposed three-year-cycle, all other Services were proposed to be reviewed within three years of their last review. After hearing from public stakeholders and providers within this service category, HCPF decided to add dental services as a partial review due to lack of data and resources, while maintaining a full review in 2024 as scheduled.

Dental services were previously reviewed in the [2018 Medicaid Provider Rate Review Analysis Report](https://www.colorado.gov/pacific/hcpf/2018-medicaid-provider-rate-review-analysis-report).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dental Services Statistics</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Adjusted Expenditures FY 2021-22</td>
<td>$276,056,155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Members Utilizing Services in FY 2021-22</td>
<td>514,162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2021-22 Over FY 2020-21 Change in Members Utilizing Services</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Active Providers FY 2021-22</td>
<td>1,785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2021-22 Over FY 2020-21 Change in Active Providers</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 25. Dental Services expenditure and utilization data.*
Rate Comparison Analysis

On average, Colorado Medicaid payments for dental services are estimated at 49.8% of the benchmark. A summary of the estimated total expenditures resulting from using comparable sources is presented below. The benchmark data is American Dental Association (ADA) 2022 survey data. Based on the random sample data from a nationwide group of dentists, the ADA survey data provides the national average dental fees for more than 200 commonly used dental procedure codes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dental Services Rate Benchmark Comparison</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Colorado Repriced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$301,745,345</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 26. Comparison of Colorado Dental Service payments to those of other payers, expressed as a percentage (FY 2021-22).*

The estimated fiscal impact to Colorado Medicaid would be $304,426,257 total funds if Colorado had reimbursed at 100% of the benchmark in FY 2021-22. Of the 523 procedure codes analyzed in this service grouping, 151 were compared to the ADA Survey (28.9%), 199 did not have applicable repricing rates, and 173 did not have valid utilization during FY 2021-22. Individual rate ratios for dental services were 10.8% - 135.9%.

Access to Care Analysis

The provider participation rate for dental services is undefined. The provider participation ratio identifies the percentage of providers in Colorado that serve Medicaid patients relative to all state providers.

Stakeholder Feedback

See Appendix D for Stakeholder Feedback.

Additional Research

The MPRRAC requested to view the top 10 codes utilized in this service category to show the driving force behind which codes are costing each service category the most money.
Any code’s rates above 140% and below 60% are shown as outliers which may indicate which codes HCPF is vastly over or underspending on. The overall benchmark ratio for dental is only 49.8%, so the majority of codes fall under 60% of the benchmark. We found 134 out of 151 dental codes that can be identified as outliers (above 140% or below 60%), however when changing the criteria codes below 40% of the benchmark, we found 17 out of 151 as outliers.

HCPF identified 17 outliers:

The MPRRAC also requested a visual to represent the utilizer to provider ratio, as shown below. Darker colors represent heavier utilization, on the contrary, lighter colors represent less utilization.
MPRRAC Recommendations

- The MPRRAC recommends that the 24 codes that the Colorado Dental Association submitted to be increased to 100% of the benchmark to have the most immediate impact on the dental community.
- The 24 identified codes are: D0120, D0140, D0150, D1110, D1120, D2740, D2750, D2751, D2752, D2753, D2790, D2791, D2792, D2794, D2930, D3310, D3320, D3330, D3346, D3347, D3348, D4341, D4342, and D4910.
- The anticipated fiscal impact of the MPRRAC’s recommendations is predicted to be $104,138,137 total funds, $19,015,624 General Fund.

HCPF Recommendations

- HCPF recommends increasing preventative dental codes (D1110, D1120), endodontic codes (D3310, D3320, D3330, D3346, D3347, D3348) and periodontic codes (D4341, D4342 and D4910) to the 100% benchmark. This aligns with incentivizing dental prevention and efforts to improve member access and equity to oral health care.
- HCPF recommends the remaining thirteen codes (D0120, D0140, D0150, D2740, D2750, D2751, D2752, D2753, D2790, D2791, D2792, D2794, D2930) for diagnostic services to increase to 70% of the benchmark at this time.
HCPF recommends raising four additional preventative procedure codes: D1206, D1351, D1352, D1354 (3 codes are for sealants and 1 is for silver diamine fluoride to arrest decay) to 100% of the benchmark.

The anticipated fiscal impact of the HCPF’s recommendations is predicted to be $85,620,023 total funds, $15,634,217 General Fund.

**Policy Justification**

Effective July 1, 2023 the $1500 benefit maximum for the adult dental benefit was removed, and there is currently no dollar limit to the amount of services that Adult members and Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities (IDD) members can receive under the state dental plan. The Dental team took into consideration 3 aspects of the fabrication of crowns, dentures, and/or partials needed to complete root canal treatment: the Provider network, lab (overhead) costs, and time (multiple appointments), which led to the recommendation to raise these codes to 100% of the benchmark. HCPF agreed with MPRAAC on 16 of the 24 to be increased to 100% of the benchmark. The remaining 8 of the 24, HCPF recommends an increase to 70% of the benchmark. These preventative, diagnostic, periodontal (exams, and cleanings, deep cleanings) codes are a service that are covered multiple times per year. This allows for HCPF to review and recommend an increase to 173 CDT codes.

**Surgeries**

The seven sub-categories of surgeries that are being examined in this report are as follows:

- Digestive System
- Musculoskeletal System
- Cardiovascular System
- Respiratory System
- Integumentary System
- Eye and Auditory System
- Other Surgeries
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surgeries Statistics</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Adjusted Expenditures FY 2021-22</td>
<td>$108,963,932</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Members Utilizing Services in FY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021-22</td>
<td>235,744</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2021-22 Over FY 2020-21 Change in</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Members Utilizing Services</td>
<td>-3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Active Providers FY 2021-22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2021-22 Over FY 2020-21 Change in</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active Providers</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 29. Surgeries total expenditure and utilization data (FY 2021-22).

The surgeries service grouping comprises 5,713 procedure codes. Of the 5,173 procedure codes analyzed in this service grouping, 3,948 were compared to Medicare (76.3%), and 102 did not have applicable repricing rates, and 1,663 did not have valid utilization in FY 2021-22.

The provider participation rate for all surgery categories is 62%. The provider participation ratio identifies the percentage of providers in Colorado that serve Medicaid patients relative to all state providers. The MPRRAC requested a visual to represent the utilizer to provider ratio, as shown below. Darker colors represent heavier utilization, on the contrary, lighter colors represent less utilization.

Figure 7. Surgeries (all service categories) utilizer to provider ratio per county (FY 2021-22)
HCPF identified 1,806 outliers for all surgery categories. The cluster graphs below depict all outliers above 140% and below 60%, which may indicate which codes HCPF is vastly over or underspending on.

Figure 8. Outliers for surgeries (all service categories) over 140% (FY 2021-22).

Figure 9. Outliers for surgeries (all service categories) under 60% (FY 2021-22).
The top ten codes for all surgery categories represent 19% of the total dollars spent on surgeries and are listed below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Procedure Code</th>
<th>Procedure Description</th>
<th>Service Subcategory</th>
<th>Paid Amount</th>
<th>CO Repriced</th>
<th>Medicare Repriced</th>
<th>CO as a % of Benchmark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>36475</td>
<td>ENDOVENOUS RF 1ST VEIN</td>
<td>Cardiovascular System</td>
<td>3,509,446</td>
<td>3,663,733</td>
<td>2,315,475</td>
<td>125.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>43329</td>
<td>GID BIOPSY SINGLE/MULTIPLE</td>
<td>Digestive System</td>
<td>3,328,472</td>
<td>2,804,738</td>
<td>2,240,328</td>
<td>125.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>66068</td>
<td>TXCPI CT/CTA RVW/RVW ECP</td>
<td>Eye &amp; Auditory System</td>
<td>2,782,361</td>
<td>3,576,324</td>
<td>3,213,924</td>
<td>110.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>45380</td>
<td>COLONOSCOPY AND BIOPSY</td>
<td>Digestive System</td>
<td>2,383,223</td>
<td>2,162,143</td>
<td>1,764,051</td>
<td>122.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>45385</td>
<td>COLONOSCOPY W/LESION REMOVAL</td>
<td>Digestive System</td>
<td>2,085,520</td>
<td>2,059,813</td>
<td>1,732,678</td>
<td>118.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>45378</td>
<td>DIAGNOSTIC COLONOSCOPY</td>
<td>Digestive System</td>
<td>1,653,479</td>
<td>1,549,085</td>
<td>1,221,953</td>
<td>126.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>27447</td>
<td>TOTAL KNEE ARTHROPLASTY</td>
<td>Musculoskeletal System</td>
<td>1,340,800</td>
<td>1,706,191</td>
<td>1,481,587</td>
<td>115.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>64481</td>
<td>N/K A&amp;A/STRO TMF/PR/L 1</td>
<td>Other Surgeries</td>
<td>1,232,459</td>
<td>1,093,488</td>
<td>1,063,686</td>
<td>102.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>49080</td>
<td>ABD PARACENTESIS W/WASHING</td>
<td>Digestive System</td>
<td>1,239,134</td>
<td>1,317,225</td>
<td>513,586</td>
<td>247.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>47562</td>
<td>LAPAROSCOPIC CHOLECYSTECTOMY</td>
<td>Digestive System</td>
<td>1,200,953</td>
<td>1,193,922</td>
<td>1,401,313</td>
<td>85.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 30. Top 10 codes utilized for surgeries (all service categories) (FY 2021-22).*

**Surgeries - Digestive System**

**Service Description**

The digestive system surgery service grouping comprises 884 procedure codes (including both reviewed and excluded procedure codes). Digestive system surgery services involve surgical and diagnostic procedures extending from where the food enters the body to where it leaves. Digestive System Surgery services were previously reviewed in the [2017 Medicaid Provider Rate Review Analysis Report](#).

**Rate Comparison Analysis**

On average, Colorado Medicaid payments for the digestive system surgery services are estimated at 96.4% of the benchmark. A summary of the estimated total expenditures resulting from using comparable sources is presented below.

**Digestive System Surgery Rate Benchmark Comparison**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Colorado Repriced</th>
<th>Medicare Repriced</th>
<th>Rate Benchmark Comparison</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21,656,071</td>
<td>22,469,116</td>
<td>96.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 31. Comparison of Colorado Medicaid digestive system surgery service payments to those of other payers, expressed as a percentage (FY 2021-22).*

The estimated fiscal impact to Colorado Medicaid would be $813,045 total funds if Colorado had reimbursed at 100% of the benchmark in FY 2021-22. Of the 884 procedure codes analyzed in this service grouping, 597 were compared to Medicare (67.5%), 27 did not have applicable repricing rates, and 260 did not have any valid utilization during FY 2021-22. Individual rate ratios for Digestive System Surgery services were 6.0% - 1453.2%.
Access to Care Analysis

The provider participation rate for digestive system surgery services is 46%. The provider participation ratio identifies the percentage of providers in Colorado that serve Medicaid patients relative to all state providers.

Stakeholder Feedback

See Appendix D for Stakeholder Feedback.

Additional Research

Any code’s rates above 140% and below 60% are shown as outliers which may indicate which codes HCPF is vastly over or underspending on.

HCPF identified 315 outliers. The cluster graphs below depict all outliers above 140% and below 60%, which may indicate which codes HCPF is vastly over or underspending on.

Figure 10. Outliers for digestive surgeries over 140% (FY 2021-22).
The MPRRAC also requested a visual to represent the utilizer to provider ratio, as shown below. Darker colors represent heavier utilization, on the contrary, lighter colors represent less utilization.

Figure 11. Outliers for digestive surgeries under 60% (FY 2021-22).

Figure 12. Digestive surgeries utilizer to provider ratio per county (FY 2021-22)
MPRRAC Recommendations

- The MPRRAC recommends keeping preventative surgery codes at 100% of the benchmark.
  - Preventative surgery codes include:
    - 45378, 45379, 45380, 45381, 45382, 45384, 45385, 45386, 45388, 45390, 45391, 45392, 45393, 45395, 45397, 45398.
- For all other codes, rebalance to 80% of the benchmark.
- The anticipated fiscal impact of the MPRRAC's recommendations is predicted to be ($1,447,136) total funds, ($423,461) General Fund.

HCPF Recommendations

- HCPF recommends raising preventative surgery codes to 100% of the benchmark and keeping any preventative surgery codes over 100% at their current rate.
  - Preventative surgery codes include: 45378 through 45398
- HCPF recommends a rebalance of all other codes below 70% of the benchmark to be increased to 70%, and codes above 100% of the benchmark to be reduced to 100%.
- The anticipated fiscal impact of the HCPF's recommendations is predicted to be ($1,165,252) total funds, ($340,976) General Fund.

Policy Justification

HCPF's recommendation aligns with the MPRRAC recommendations and prioritizes preventative surgery codes. Rebalancing codes above and below benchmark rates allows the Department's recommendation to fit within budget restrictions and provides a more equitable distribution of available funding.

Surgeries - Musculoskeletal System

Service Description

The musculoskeletal system surgery service grouping comprises 1,634 procedure codes (including both reviewed and excluded procedure codes). Musculoskeletal system surgery services involve procedures done to the locomotor system, such as spine fusions, arthroscopy, and arthroplasty. Musculoskeletal system surgery services were previously reviewed in the 2017 Medicaid Provider Rate Review Analysis Report.

Rate Comparison Analysis

On average, Colorado Medicaid payments for musculoskeletal system surgery services are estimated at 66.4% of the benchmark. A summary of the estimated total expenditures resulting from using comparable sources is presented below.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Colorado Repriced</th>
<th>Medicare Repriced</th>
<th>Rate Benchmark Comparison</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$24,538,187</td>
<td>$36,927,306</td>
<td>66.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 32. Comparison of Colorado Medicaid Musculoskeletal System Surgery service payments to those of other payers, expressed as a percentage (FY 2021-22).

The estimated fiscal impact to Colorado Medicaid would be $12,389,119 total funds if Colorado had reimbursed at 100% of the benchmark in FY 2021-22. Of the 1,634 procedure codes analyzed in this service grouping, 1,240 were compared to Medicare (75.9%), 20 did not have applicable repricing rates, and 374 did not have valid utilization during FY 2021-22. Individual rate ratios for Musculoskeletal System Surgery services were 6.2% - 1734.1%.

**Access to Care Analysis**

The provider participation rate for musculoskeletal system surgery services is 53%. The provider participation ratio identifies the percentage of providers in Colorado that serve Medicaid patients relative to all state providers.

**Stakeholder Feedback**

See [Appendix D](#) for Stakeholder Feedback.

**Additional Research**

Any code’s rates above 140% and below 60% are shown as outliers which may indicate which codes HCPF is vastly over or underspending on.

HCPF identified 708 outliers. The cluster graphs below depict all outliers above 140% and below 60%, which may indicate which codes HCPF is vastly over or underspending on.
Figure 13. Outliers for musculoskeletal surgeries over 140% (FY 2021-22).

Figure 14. Outliers for musculoskeletal surgeries under 60% (FY 2021-22).
The MPRRAC also requested a visual to represent the utilizer to provider ratio, as shown below. Darker colors represent heavier utilization, on the contrary, lighter colors represent less utilization.

**Figure 15. Musculoskeletal surgeries utilizer to provider ratio per county (FY 2021-22).**

**MPRRAC Recommendations**
- The MPRRAC recommends rebalancing all codes to 80% of the benchmark.
- The anticipated fiscal impact of the MPRRAC’s recommendations is predicted to be $5,003,658 total funds, $1,464,171 General Fund.

**HCPF Recommendations**
- HCPF recommends a rebalance of codes below the 70% benchmark to be increased to 70% and codes above 100% of the benchmark to be reduced to 100%.
- The anticipated fiscal impact of the HCPF’s recommendations is predicted to be $3,732,671 total funds, $1,092,254 General Fund.
Policy Justification

For the overall surgery category, HCPF is prioritizing preventative surgery codes. The musculoskeletal system surgery category does not have preventative codes; however, if funding allows, rebalancing codes above and below benchmark rates allows the Department's recommendation to fit within budget restrictions and provides a more equitable distribution of available funding.

Surgeries - Cardiovascular System

Service Description

The cardiovascular system surgery service grouping comprises 767 procedure codes. Cardiovascular system surgery services involve procedures related to the heart, veins, and arteries. Cardiovascular system surgery services were previously reviewed in the 2017 Medicaid Provider Rate Review Analysis Report.

Rate Comparison Analysis

On average, Colorado Medicaid payments for cardiovascular system surgery services are estimated at 162.4% of the benchmark. A summary of the estimated total expenditures resulting from using comparable sources is presented below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cardiovascular System Surgery Rate Benchmark Comparison</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Colorado Repriced</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$17,675,644</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 33. Comparison of Colorado Medicaid Cardiovascular System Surgery service payments to those of other payers, expressed as a percentage (FY 2021-22).*

The estimated fiscal impact to Colorado Medicaid would be ($6,793,706) total funds if Colorado had reimbursed at 100% of the benchmark in FY 2021-22. Of the 767 procedure codes analyzed in this service grouping, 446 were compared to Medicare (58.1%), 12 did not have applicable repricing rates, and 309 did not have valid utilization during FY 2021-22. Individual rate ratios for Cardiovascular System Surgery services were 5.6% - 1302.4%.

The repricing methodology of cardiovascular surgery service which MPRRAC committee's recommendations are based is consistent with other surgeries services, i.e., different Medicare fees were used depending on whether the encounter was done at a facility or non-facility, based on the place of service code in the data. These repricing amounts and benchmark ratio are listed in the Table 31 above. However, the department recommended applying the Medicare non-facility fee schedule only to cardiovascular surgery service. Based on the Medicare non-facility
fee schedule, the benchmark ratio of cardiovascular system surgery services decreased from 162.4% to 74.8%. The CO repriced amount is $17,683,989 and the Medicare repriced is $23,656,358. The ratio range for this new repricing method is 2.2% - 162.9%. The reason why the Medicare repricing methodology was changed for the cardiovascular system surgery services was because this category has the lowest provider participation ratio among all surgery services (40%).

**Access to Care Analysis**

The provider participation rate for cardiovascular system surgery services is 40%. The provider participation ratio identifies the percentage of providers in Colorado that serve Medicaid patients relative to all state providers.

**Stakeholder Feedback**

See Appendix D for Stakeholder Feedback.

**Additional Research**

Any code’s rates above 140% and below 60% are shown as outliers which may indicate which codes HCPF is vastly over or underspending on.

HCPF identified 123 outliers. The cluster graphs below depict all outliers above 140% and below 60%, which may indicate which codes HCPF is vastly over or underspending on.
The MPRRAC also requested a visual to represent the utilizer to provider ratio, as shown below. Darker colors represent heavier utilization, on the contrary, lighter colors represent less utilization.
**MPRRAC Recommendations**

- The MPRRAC recommends rebalancing all codes to 80% of the benchmark.
- The anticipated fiscal impact of the MPRRAC’s recommendations is predicted to be ($7,723,131) total funds, ($2,259,943) General Fund.

**HCPF Recommendations**

- HCPF recommends a rebalance of codes below the 70% benchmark to be increased to 70% and codes above 125% of the benchmark to be reduced to 125% using only non-facility Medicare rates as the benchmark.
- The anticipated fiscal impact of the HCPF’s recommendations is predicted to be $2,842,496 total funds, $831,772 General Fund.

**Policy Justification**

For the overall surgery category, HCPF is prioritizing preventative surgery codes. The cardiovascular surgery category does not have preventative codes; however, if funding allows, rebalancing codes above and below benchmark rates allows the Department’s recommendation to fit within budget restrictions and provides a more equitable distribution of available funding.

---

**Surgeries - Respiratory System**

**Service Description**

The respiratory system surgery service grouping comprises 310 procedure codes. Respiratory system surgery services involve procedures related to the diagnostic evaluation and invasive surgeries of the nose, trachea, bronchi, lungs, and pleura. Respiratory system surgery services were previously reviewed in the [2017 Medicaid Provider Rate Review Analysis Report](#).

**Rate Comparison Analysis**

On average, Colorado Medicaid payments for respiratory system surgery services are estimated at 82.5% of the benchmark. A summary of the estimated total expenditures resulting from using comparable sources is presented below.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$5,026,476</th>
<th>$6,092,153</th>
<th>82.5%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Table 34. Comparison of Colorado Medicaid Respiratory System Surgery service payments to those of other payers, expressed as a percentage (FY 2021-22).

The estimated fiscal impact to Colorado Medicaid would be $1,065,677 total funds if Colorado had reimbursed at 100% of the benchmark in FY 2021-22. Of the 310 procedure codes analyzed in this service grouping, 202 were compared to Medicare (65.2%), 6 did not have applicable repricing rates, and 102 did not have valid utilization for FY 2021-22. Individual rate ratios for Respiratory System Surgery services were 6.4% - 823.3%.

Access to Care Analysis

The provider participation rate for respiratory system surgery services is 51%. The provider participation ratio identifies the percentage of providers in Colorado that serve Medicaid patients relative to all state providers.

Stakeholder Feedback

See Appendix D for Stakeholder Feedback.

Additional Research

Any code’s rates above 140% and below 60% are shown as outliers which may indicate which codes HCPF is vastly over or underspending on.

HCPF identified 88 outliers. The cluster graphs below depict all outliers above 140% and below 60%, which may indicate which codes HCPF is vastly over or underspending.
Figure 19. Outliers for respiratory surgeries over 140% (FY 2021-22).

Figure 20. Outliers for respiratory surgeries under 60% (FY 2021-22).
The MPRRAC also requested a visual to represent the utilizer to provider ratio, as shown below. Darker colors represent heavier utilization, on the contrary, lighter colors represent less utilization.

![Surgery Panel Size - Respiratory Systems](image)

*Figure 21. Respiratory surgeries utilizer to provider ratio per county (FY 2021-22).*

**MPRRAC Recommendations**

- The MPRRAC recommends rebalancing all codes to 80% of the benchmark.
- The anticipated fiscal impact of the MPRRAC’s recommendations is predicted to be $180,879 total funds, $52,929 General Fund.

**HCPF Recommendations**

- HCPF recommends a rebalance of codes below the 70% benchmark to be increased to 70% and codes above 100% of the benchmark to be reduced to 100%.
- The anticipated fiscal impact of the HCPF’s recommendations is predicted to be ($223,909) total funds, ($65,520) General Fund.

**Policy Justification**

For the overall surgery category, HCPF is prioritizing preventative surgery codes. The respiratory system surgery category does not have preventative codes; however, if funding allows, rebalancing codes above and below benchmark rates allows the
Department’s recommendation to fit within budget restrictions and provides a more equitable distribution of available funding.

**Surgeries - Integumentary System**

**Service Description**

The integumentary system surgery service grouping comprises 414 procedure codes. Integumentary system surgery services involve procedures of the skin and breast. Integumentary system surgery services were previously reviewed in the [2017 Medicaid Provider Rate Review Analysis Report](#).

**Rate Comparison Analysis**

On average, Colorado Medicaid payments for integumentary system surgery services are estimated at 63.5% of the benchmark. A summary of the estimated total expenditures resulting from using comparable sources is presented below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Integumentary System Surgery Rate Benchmark Comparison</th>
<th>Colorado Repriced</th>
<th>Medicare Repriced</th>
<th>Rate Benchmark Comparison</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$10,310,353</td>
<td>$16,229,309</td>
<td>63.5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 35. Comparison of Colorado Medicaid Integumentary System Surgery service payments to those of other payers, expressed as a percentage (FY 2021-22).

The estimated fiscal impact to Colorado Medicaid would be $5,918,956 total funds if Colorado had reimbursed at 100% of the benchmark in FY 2021-22. Of the 414 procedure codes analyzed in this service grouping, 330 were compared to Medicare (79.7%), 7 did not have applicable repricing rates, and 76 did not have valid utilization during FY 2021-22. Individual rate ratios for Integumentary System Surgery services were 4.7% - 470.9%.

**Access to Care Analysis**

The provider participation rate for integumentary system surgery services is 60%. The provider participation ratio identifies the percentage of providers in Colorado that serve Medicaid patients relative to all state providers.

**Stakeholder Feedback**

See [Appendix D](#) for Stakeholder Feedback.
Additional Research

Any code’s rates above 140% and below 60% are shown as outliers which may indicate which codes HCPF is vastly over or underspending on.

HCPF identified 171 outliers. The cluster graphs below depict all outliers above 140% and below 60%, which may indicate which codes HCPF is vastly over or underspending on.

![Integumentary Surgery Outliers over 140%](image)

*Figure 22. Outliers for integumentary surgeries over 140% (FY 2021-22).*
The MPRRAC also requested a visual to represent the utilizer to provider ratio, as shown below. Darker colors represent heavier utilization, on the contrary, lighter colors represent less utilization.
MPRRAC Recommendations

- The MPRRAC recommends rebalancing all codes to 80% of the benchmark.
- The anticipated fiscal impact of the MPRRAC’s recommendations is predicted to be $3,216,801 total funds, $941,300 General Fund.

HCPF Recommendations

- HCPF recommends a rebalance of codes below the 70% benchmark to be increased to 70% and codes above 100% of the benchmark to be reduced to 100%.
  - HCPF recommends 1 preventative code (17380) to increase to 100% of the benchmark.
- The anticipated fiscal impact of the HCPF’s recommendations is predicted to be $2,081,628 total funds, $609,126 General Fund.

Policy Justification

Since HCPF’s recommendation is to prioritize preventative surgery codes. In addition to recommending rebalancing codes above and below benchmark rates to fit within budget restrictions and provide a more equitable distribution of available funding, HCPF recommends increasing one preventative code to 100%.

Surgeries - Eye and Auditory System

Service Description

The eye and auditory system surgery service grouping comprises 370 procedure codes. Eye and auditory systems surgery services involve surgeries pertaining to the eye, including the ocular muscles and eyelids, and ears. Eye and auditory system surgery services were previously reviewed in the 2017 Medicaid Provider Rate Review Analysis Report.

Rate Comparison Analysis

On average, Colorado Medicaid payments for eye and auditory system surgery services are estimated at 95.0% of the benchmark. A summary of the estimated total expenditures resulting from using comparable sources is presented below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Eye and Auditory System Surgery Rate Benchmark Comparison</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Colorado Repriced</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$8,529,687</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 36. Comparison of Colorado Medicaid Eye and Auditory System Surgery service payments to those of other payers, expressed as a percentage (FY 2021-22).

The estimated fiscal impact to Colorado Medicaid would be $445,601 total funds if Colorado had reimbursed at 100% of the benchmark in FY 2021-22. Of the 370 procedure codes analyzed in this service grouping, 249 were compared to Medicare (67.3%), 9 did not have applicable repricing rates, and 112 did not have valid utilization during FY 2021-22. Individual rate ratios for eye and auditory system surgery services were 7.8% - 653.8%.

Access to Care Analysis

The provider participation rate for eye and auditory system surgery services is 50%. The provider participation ratio identifies the percentage of providers in Colorado that serve Medicaid patients relative to all state providers.

Stakeholder Feedback

See Appendix D for Stakeholder Feedback.

Additional Research

Any code’s rates above 140% and below 60% are shown as outliers which may indicate which codes HCPF is vastly over or underspending on.

HCPF identified 103 outliers. The cluster graphs below depict all outliers above 140% and below 60%, which may indicate which codes HCPF is vastly over or underspending on.
The MPRRAC also requested a visual to represent the utilizer to provider ratio, as shown below. Darker colors represent heavier utilization, on the contrary, lighter colors represent less utilization.
Figure 27. Eye and auditory surgeries utilizer to provider ratio per county (FY 2021-22).

MPRRAC Recommendations
- The MPRRAC recommends rebalancing all codes to 80% of the benchmark.
- The anticipated fiscal impact of the MPRRAC’s recommendations is predicted to be ($176,581) total funds, ($51,671) General Fund.

HCPF Recommendations
- HCPF recommends a rebalance of codes below the 70% benchmark to be increased to 70% and codes above 100% of the benchmark to be reduced to 100%.
- The anticipated fiscal impact of the HCPF’s recommendations is predicted to be ($383,945) total funds, ($112,350) General Fund.

Policy Justification
For the overall surgery category, HCPF is prioritizing preventative surgery codes. The eye and auditory surgery category does not have preventative codes; however, if funding allows, rebalancing codes above and below benchmark rates allows the Department's recommendation to fit within budget restrictions and provides a more equitable distribution of available funding.

Surgeries - Other
Service Description
The other surgery service grouping comprises 1334 procedure codes. This category includes procedures which are considered surgeries but are not included in any of the other surgical categories covered in this report. Services under "other surgeries" are as follows: endocrine system, female genital system, male genital system, intersex surgery, and urinary system. These surgery categories have been added to the rate review cycle since surgeries were previously reviewed in the 2017 Medicaid Provider Rate Review Analysis Report.

Rate Comparison Analysis
On average, Colorado Medicaid payments for other surgery services are estimated at 78.2% of the benchmark. A summary of the estimated total expenditures resulting from using comparable sources is presented below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Other Surgery Rate Benchmark Comparison</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Colorado Repriced</td>
<td>Medicare Repriced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate Benchmark</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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$21,227,515 | $27,145,528 | 78.2%

Table 37. Comparison of Colorado Medicaid Other Surgery service payments to those of other payers, expressed as a percentage (FY 2021-22).

The estimated fiscal impact to Colorado Medicaid would be $5,918,013 total funds if Colorado had reimbursed at 100% of the benchmark in FY 2021-22. Of the 1334 procedure codes analyzed in this service grouping, 883 were compared to Medicare (66.2%), 21 did not have applicable repricing rates, and 430 did not have valid utilization during FY 2021-22. Individual rate ratios for Other Surgery services were 2.5% - 1335.2%.

**Access to Care Analysis**

The provider participation rate for other surgery services is 54%. The provider participation ratio identifies the percentage of providers in Colorado that serve Medicaid patients relative to all state providers.

**Stakeholder Feedback**

See Appendix D for Stakeholder Feedback.

**Additional Research**

Any code’s rates above 140% and below 60% are shown as outliers which may indicate which codes HCPF is vastly over or underspending on.

HCPF identified 298 outliers. The cluster graphs below depict all outliers above 140% and below 60%, which may indicate which codes HCPF is vastly over or underspending on.
Figure 28. Outliers for other surgeries over 140% (FY 2021-22).

Figure 29. Outliers for other surgeries under 60% (FY 2021-22).

The MPRRAC also requested a visual to represent the utilizer to provider ratio, as shown below. Darker colors represent heavier utilization, on the contrary, lighter colors represent less utilization.
MPRRAC Recommendations

- The MPRRAC recommends rebalancing all codes to 80% of the benchmark.
- The anticipated fiscal impact of the MPRRAC’s recommendations is predicted to be $1,809,649 total funds, $529,540 General Fund.

HCPF Recommendations

- HCPF recommends a rebalance of codes below the 70% benchmark to be increased to 70% and codes above 100% of the benchmark to be reduced to 100%.
- The anticipated fiscal impact of the HCPF’s recommendations is predicted to be $505,358 total funds, $147,878 General Fund.

Policy Justification

For the overall surgery category, HCPF is prioritizing preventative surgery codes. The other surgery category does not have preventative codes; however, if funding allows, rebalancing codes above and below benchmark rates allows the Department’s recommendation to fit within budget restrictions and provides a more equitable distribution of available funding.
Co-Surgery

HCPF reviewed its co-surgery policy in response to provider feedback regarding reimbursement for certain procedures when performed as co-surgeries. Providers expressed concern that the limited scope of co-surgery reimbursement does not allow the flexibility for two surgeons to collaborate on highly complex procedures where the skills of two surgeons are necessary. This can limit access to high quality care or result in providers performing services that cannot be reimbursed.

Currently HCPF only allows co-surgery reimbursement for CPT codes which CMS has assigned a co-surgery indicator of ‘2’. We are proposing to expand the list of surgeries for which the Department allows co-surgery reimbursement to include all CPT codes which CMS has assigned a co-surgery indicator of ‘1’, which includes approximately 2500 additional codes. This will align HCPF more closely with Medicare’s co-surgery policy and create clarity for providers.

MPRRAC Recommendation

- The MPRRAC did not receive data on Co-Surgery, therefore did not make a recommendation.

HCPF Recommendation

- HCPF recommends to expand the list of surgeries for which HCPF allows co-surgery reimbursement to include all CPT codes which CMS has assigned a co-surgery indicator of ‘1’, which includes 2,469 codes.
- The anticipated fiscal impact of the co-surgery recommendation is about $1,759,670 total funds, $514,915 General Fund.

Policy Justifications

HCPF reviewed its co-surgery policy in response to provider feedback regarding reimbursement for certain procedures when performed as co-surgeries. Providers expressed concern that the limited scope of co-surgery reimbursement does not allow the flexibility for two surgeons to collaborate on highly complex procedures where the skills of two surgeons are necessary. This can limit access to high quality care or result in providers performing services that cannot be reimbursed.

Currently HCPF only allows co-surgery reimbursement for CPT codes which CMS has assigned a co-surgery indicator of ‘2’. By expanding the list to include all CPT codes which CMS has assigned a co-surgery indicator of ‘1’, this will align HCPF more closely with Medicare’s co-surgery policy and create clarity for providers.
Appendices

Appendix A - Cycle 1 Year 1 Methodologies and Data
Provides explanations of methodologies and data used in this report.

Appendix B1 - Base Data Summary
Provides more detailed rate comparison benchmark summaries and results that were introduced and discussed in the narrative.

Appendix B2 - Rate Ratios
B2(a) - Anesthesia
B2(b) - Ambulatory Surgical Centers (ASC)
B2(c) - FFS Behavioral Health Services
B2(d) - Maternity
B2(e) - Abortion
B2(f) - Pediatric Behavioral Therapy
B2(g) - Dental
B2(h) - Surgery

Appendix C - Fiscal Impact
The method to calculate a fiscal impact number for a service grouping has two steps. First, within the same service grouping, subtract the repriced Colorado Medicaid expenditure amount of each impacted procedure code from the adjusted expenditure amount which is based on the targeted ratio of the benchmark recommended by MPRRAC or the department. Second, sum up the total fiscal impact amount for the whole service grouping.

Appendix D - Stakeholder Feedback
Contains all public stakeholder feedback that HCPF has received via email and verbally at the Medicaid Provider Rate Review Public Meetings
Appendix E - Glossary and County Reference Map

Provides explanations for common terms used throughout the 2023 Medicaid Provider Rate Review Analysis Report, as well as a reference map of counties in Colorado by classification.